



DC2 Planning

S. Ritz



Data Challenge Planning Approach

- Walk before running: design a progression of studies.
 - DC1. Modest goals. Contained most essential features of a data challenge. Great success (lots of problems!)
 - DC2. More ambitious science goals. Encourage further development, based on lessons from DC1.
 - DC3. Support for flight science production.

S. Ritz



LAT Data Challenges: Updated Plan for DC2

DC2, based on lessons from DC1

- 1 simulated month of all-sky survey gammas (backgrounds: see next slide)
- key sky addition: <u>source variability</u>
 - AGN variability, including bright flares, quiescent periods
 - expand burst variety. <u>Include GBM.</u>
 - pulsars, including Gemingas, w/ orbit position effects.
- more realistic all-sky attitude profile
- background rate varies with orbit position
- more physics surprises
- update geometry (including s/c); add nominal hardware problems (and misalignments?); add deadtime effects and corrections
- Analysis Goals:
 - produce toy 1-month catalog
 - try out transient releases and quicklook analyses, monitor sources
 - point source sensitivity and localization studies
 - try first systematic pulsar searches (timing!)
 - diffuse analyses
 - recognize simple hardware problems (connect with ISOC)
 - benchmark processing times, data volume, data transfers.



Backgrounds in DC2

- Reference numbers: per 1kHz of orbit average rate over one month amounts to 2.5B triggers, or O(10B) generated events. Nope.
- Let the science drive it!
 - the main need is presumably high-latitude diffuse spectral analysis
 - requirement is <10% of high-latitude diffuse in each energy decade.
 - In one day, this is ~10³ residual events (so must generate ~108 events, which we can do). For single distributions without detailed features, *e.g.*, a simple spectrum plot, this could be sufficient statistics.
- Proposal: generate 1 (few?) day of background (~500M events)
 - include onboard filter and full background rejection analysis for all generated events (gammas+backgrounds)
 - Replay those few thousand residual background events randomly throughout the full one-month gamma set.
 - Most analyses should not notice. If it turns out to be very significant, we may have a few spiky distributions!
 - Think this through: will it work for the high-latitude diffuse analysis? Any other issues?



DC2: When?

- This is the year we build!
 - All of us must help as needed
 - SAS must support the integration and test. Try to minimize interference. Avoid conflicts with integration ramp-up and subsystem completion.
- Plan: be ready to release DC2 data July 2005
 - but stay flexible to minimize clashes with instrument testing



- Proposed duration: 2 full months.
 - DC1 lasted 2 months, but included the holidays







From Lowell's Talk This Morning



GLAST LAT Project

Collaboration Meeting, September 28, 2003

Key LAT events

November 2004 First tower modules ready for installation Two towers installed and tested in the grid January 2005 Two tower Comprehensive Perf. Test Complete February 2005 Sixteen towers installed and tested May 2005 LAT completely assembled

LAT system test complete

LAT environmental test complete

GLAST observatory integration and test begins

Launch

June 2005

August 2005

December 2005

January 2006

February 2007



DC2 Coordinating Committee

 Coordinates efforts across the team, ensures work is done on schedule. When necessary: triage! Does not set policy.

Ballet

Burnett

Cameron

Connaughton

Digel

Dubois

Longo

Ritz

Usher