

1.0 Introduction

This monthly progress report is submitted to the GLAST Project Office at the Goddard Space Flight Center and the Department of Energy SLAC Site Office. The report summarizes LAT project status as of the end of April, 2003.

2.0 Recent Progress and Status

The French Space Agency, CNES, dropped GLAST from their program at the end of April. This decision appears to be final. CNES was providing funding to CEA/Saclay and IN2P3 labs for their work on the Calorimeter. A plan to move this work to the US (NRL) is being discussed with the project's DOE and NASA funding sponsors. It is expected that there will be a significant cost impact, and a minimal schedule impact.

4.1.4 Tracker

The Engineering Model (EM) mini-tower testing continued, with all eight multichip modules and cables being read simultaneously. Procurement readiness reviews were successfully held for the flight tray-panel assembly and the flight ladder assembly. Flight wafers were tested, with excellent yields on both ASIC types; preparations for lapping, dicing, and inspection are underway. The sidewall material for the EM tower is being delivered to Italy. Bottom tray closeout material testing and EM closeout fabrication has begun.



Figure 1: Tracker Engineering Model Mini-Tower

4.1.5 Calorimeter

The first 48 flight production CsI crystals have been inspected. The quality is excellent, however, two crystals were rejected due to chamfer tolerance measurement. 184 flight prototype dual pin photodiodes have been received in France for evaluation and qualification testing. Bids for manufacture and wrapping of crystal detector elements in France have been reviewed; vendor selection will occur in May. Bids have also been received for the machining of the structure aluminum parts in France, with vendor selection in early May. Functional testing of the engineering model analog front end electronics Y board has been completed. The first look at the readout controller chip (version 5) shows good communications results at 20 MHz, but some difficulty at 24 MHz; testing continues. Several tests of the engineering model were completed, with good results: comprehensive functional and muon testing, a preliminary thermal cycle test, and vibration testing to qualification levels on three axes.



Figure 2: Calorimeter Engineering Model on the Vibration Table

4.1.6 Anticoincidence Detector

The readout controller ASIC (version 2) and the front end ASICs (versions 5 and 6) have been received; limited testing has been performed. The final 60 flight phototubes have been received, and are being tested at a rate of four per day. Testing of the new phototube housing design is underway, to make sure it is light tight. Procurements for the remaining flight hardware have been placed, including the flight shell. Fabrication of the flight tile detector assemblies has commenced. The fiber ribbon bending fixure has been completed, and a fiber ribbon has been fabricated.



Figure 3: ACD Analog ASIC Test Board

4.1.7 Electronics

The schematics for the LAT communication board and crate backplane board have been completed. The layout of the GASU and PDU was completed and submitted for fabrication. The front-end simulator board has been fabricated, received, and is being loaded. Data acquisition ASICs were received, and are being tested. Proposals for the tower engineering model power supplies were received and review is underway.



Figure 4: Front-End Simulator Board

4.1.8 Mechanical Systems

The mass/stress optimizations for the grid box assembly were completed. The Calorimeter/grid pinned interface testing is underway. Interface definition drawings of the X-LAT plate and radiators are in progress with Lockheed Martin. A one-month extension of the Lockheed Martin Phase I contract was made. The Phase II contract will be in place by early June.

4.1.9 Integration & Test

The single bay grid for use in the engineering model is complete. A cosmic ray telescope for the engineering model was constructed. LATTE (LAT Test Executive) is now capable of running suites of applications. The BGO data acquisition system is nearly complete. A walkthrough of the LAT integration facility was conducted with the LAT safety and quality asurance managers.

3.0 Schedule Status

The status of significant milestones identified in the Project Management Plan (LAT-MD-00054-08) for the LAT project is summarized in Attachments 1 and 2. Attachment 1 presents the status of the Level 1 and Level 2 milestones. The Instrument CDR (1M1000110) was delayed by two weeks at the request of the review co-chairs. Attachment 2 shows the status of the Level 3 milestones planned to occur during the six months preceding and following the current month. Unfavorable variance projections greater than one week to the future milestones are discussed below.

Engineering Model (1x4) Grid (1M1001380)

Baseline/Target Finish: 12/02/02 Projected Finish: 06/11/03 Variance: -127 days Lack of sufficent manpower, and vendor machine failure, have resulted in the delay of this milestone. In order to ensure design maturity, the completion of this milestone will be even further delayed (early August), pending the Calorimeter-grid interface definition. An existing 1x1 grid bay mockup will be used to develop test procedures and electrical ground support equipment (EGSE).

Tracker Engineering Model (1M1001430)

Baseline/Target Finish: 12/09/02 Projected Finish: 08/11/03 Variance: -164 days The delivery of the full Tracker EM has been delayed by the redesign of the bottom tray. In the meantime, the upgraded EM minitower will be delivered to I&T in early July, and will be used with the aforementioned 1x1 grid bay mockup to develop test procedures and EGSE. The delay of the full tower can be accommodated in the I&T schedule with no further impact.

GEM H/W Driver, Final Version, Elex to I&T/Online (1M1001390)

Baseline/Target Finish: 01/07/03 Projected Finish: 06/16/03 Variance: -111 days Resources have been diverted from the completion of this milestone to other tasks with higher priority. This delay can be accomodated in the Integration & Test schedule with no further impact.

<u>High Voltage Power Supply (Bd & Prts) – ACD to Electronics (1M7941350)</u> Baseline/Target Finish: 02/03/03 Projected Finish: 05/15/03 Variance: -72 days Resources were diverted from the completion of this milestone to other ACD tasks w

Resources were diverted from the completion of this milestone to other ACD tasks with higher priority. This delay can be accomodated in the Electronics schedule with no further impact. (Note: this milestone was completed in May, as projected).

Online EM2 Release #1 to Flight Software (1M1001500)

Baseline/Target Finish: 04/30/03 Projected Finish: 06/16/03 Variance: -32 days The completion of this milestone is dependent on the completion of the final version of the GEM H/W Driver (Milestone 1M1001390, above). The delay can be accomodated in the Flight Software schedule with no further impact.

(36) MCMs for EM2 from Tracker to Electronics (1M1000910)

Baseline/Target Finish: 07/18/03 Projected Finish: 09/25/03 Variance: -48 days Procurement delays have resulted in the delay of this milestone. This delay can be accommodated in the Electronics schedule with no further impact.

4.0 Financial Status

Attachment 3 depicts the costs, commitments, and performance through the end of the current reporting period. There was an error in the SLAC accounting system this period, resulting in duplication (overstatement) of \$2.0M in commitments. This will be corrected next period.

Attachments 4 and 5 summarize the actual costs through the current period, by WBS level 3 and institution, respectively. The hours worked/FTE lines include only DOE/NASA-funded labor.

5.0 Performance Status (Comparison to Project Baseline)

Attachment 6 is a Cost Performance Report (CPR) for the end of the current reporting period, by WBS level 3. The CPR shows the time-phased budget to date (BCWS), the earned value (BCWP), and the actual costs through the end of the month (ACWP). Attachment 7 shows the same information for each participating DOE- and/or NASA-funded institution. The schedule variance is equal to the difference between the budget-to-date and the earned value and represents a measure of the ahead (positive) or behind (negative) schedule position. The cost variance is equal to the difference between the earned value and the actual costs.

Attachment 8 shows performance analysis (by WBS level 3), including trends in the schedule and cost variances from the previous period. Cumulative cost variances exceeding 10% of the BCWP and cumulative schedule variances exceeding 10% of BCWS (favorable and unfavorable) are discussed below.

4.1.4 Tracker

The favorable cost variance is due to a delayed invoice payment for 1,331 silicon strip detectors. An agreement has been made to increase the quantity being ordered by Japan and reduce the quantity ordered by the US. The procurement arrangement is being adjusted and the invoice is expected to be paid in June.

4.1.6 Anticoincidence Detector

The flight shell and tile detector assembly procurements were not received on schedule. This is not considered critical path, and the schedule is expected to recover by the end of the fiscal year. Manpower was diverted from the MGSE design work to support the tile shell assembly design. A recovery plan is underway which preserves the MGSE delivery date, does not impact significant milestones, and removes the unfavorable schedule variance by the end of the fiscal year.

The unfavorable cost variance is due to higher labor costs than planned for the tile shell assembly and base electronics assembly (BEA) work. Contract labor support is being reduced in favor of NASA/Goddard civil servant labor, where appropriate.

4.1.8 Mechanical Systems

The unfavorable schedule variance is due to filling key engineering and design positions slower than planned. These positions have been filled, and the baseline schedule is expected to be restored by the end of the fiscal year.

4.1.A Performance & Safety Assurance

The favorable cost variance is due to the delay in the hire of a part-time parts engineer at NRL (now on board), specific mission-assurance-related activities being covered by other LAT subsystems, and less travel taken than planned.

4.1.B Instrument Operations Center

The schedule variance results from a delay in hiring additional planned resources. The LAT management is working with SLAC management to address the long-term management and staffing of the subsystem.

A change in the subsystem management has resulted in a temporary favorable cost variance. The budget will be adjusted once longer-term plans have been made for management of this subsystem.

4.1.D Science Analysis Software

Hiring delays at Stanford/HEPL and GSFC have resulted in a favorable cost variance. These hires have now been completed.

6.0 Change Control and Contingency Analysis

Four change requests were submitted to and approved by the LAT Configuration Control Board during April. A summary, including the impacts on the LAT fabrication phase cost, is below.

Change	Description	Submitted By	CCB	Current
Request No.			Meeting	Status
LAT-XR-	Calorimeter GSI Beam Test	N. Johnson	4/23/03	Approved
01870-02				\$0K
LAT-XR-	LAT Power Allocation	W. Davis	4/23/03	Approved
01998-02	Update			
LAT-XR-	ACD Base Electronics	T. Johnson	4/23/03	Approved
02000-01	Assembly M&S			\$467K
LAT-XR-	System Engineering	W. Althouse	4/23/03	Approved
02001-01	Milestone Changes			\$0K

The fabrication phase cost baseline is now \$107.9M. Funding applicable to that baseline is \$121.7M; the resulting contingency is \$13.8M.

7.0 Staffing

Attachments 9-10 demonstrate the staffing plan, and reports of actual manpower received. Note from Attachment 10 that not all participating organizations are providing manpower data.

Attachment 1 Milestones, Levels 1-2

Activity ID	Activity Descriptio	n	Target Finish Date	Variance	Scheduled Finish Date	FY01	FY02	FY0:	3 FY04	4 FY()5	FY06	H
DOE/NAS	A Joint Oversight Group	Level											Π
1M1P000000	DOE Critical Decision (CD) 0 App	roval	06/25/01A	0	06/25/01A	▼							
1M1P000010	CD-1 Approval		07/01/02*	-15	07/23/02A								
1M1P000020	CD-2 Approval		12/13/02*	23	11/08/02A			•					
1M1P000030	CD-3 Approval		07/15/03*	0	07/15/03*				\mathbf{Y}				
1M1P000060	Flight GRID Complete		09/15/04*	0	09/15/04*					¥			
1M1P000040	CD-4 Approval		03/15/06*	0	03/15/06*							¥	
DOE/NAS	A Federal Project Manage	ers (Level											
1M1BF00000	Launch Balloon Flight		08/01/01A	0	08/01/01A								
1M1000100	Instrument Preliminary Design Re	view	01/08/02A	0	01/08/02A		Y						
1M1000110	I-CDR (Critical Design Review)		04/30/03*	-12	05/16/03*				7				
1M1000730	TKR, CAL FM A, B Available for C	Calibration Unit	02/17/04*	0	02/17/04*				V				
1M1000740	Start LAT Integration		06/15/04*	0	06/15/04*				7	₹			
1M1000700	Pre Environmental Testing Review	V	02/15/05*	0	02/15/05*					₹			
1M1000120	PSR-(Instrument Pre-Ship Review	/)	07/07/05*	0	07/07/05*						\mathbf{v}		
1M1000140	LAT Ready for Integration (RFI)	to Spacecraft	09/22/05*	0	09/22/05*						¥		
1	L			1		_ ¶ <u>i</u> <u>i</u>					- 		↓ ÷
Run Date	05/30/03 08:22	GLAST L Project Mileston	AT PROJECT		0520 LT_MS	1-2					Sheet	1 of 1	
©	Primavera Systems, Inc.		(

Attachment 2 (Page 1 of 3) Level 3 Milestones (One-Year View)

Activity ID	Activ	ity tion	Target Finish Date	Variance	Scheduled Finish Date	AV	ND	FY02	FY03	FY04
Instrumer	nt Project Office (Level 3									
1M1001420	AEM H/W driver final ver-ELX to	o I&T/Online	09/20/02	-40	11/15/02A	7	9	▼		
1M7941310	ACD Electronics Module - EM1	(Elec to ACD)	09/20/02	-40	11/15/02A	7	6			
1M1001340	GEM H/W driver, init ver-ELX to	I&T/Online	11/12/02	37	09/20/02A	7	9	▼.		
1M1001410	TEM H/W driver, final ver-ELX t	o I&T/Online	11/19/02	36	09/30/02A	7	9	▼.		
1M1001380	Delivery of EM (1X4) Grid to I&	ſ/MSGE	12/02/02*	-127	06/11/03*	8	9	•	▽	
1M1001280	As-Built dwgs for EM TKR-TKR	to I&T	12/05/02	-1	12/06/02A	4	9	.		
1M1001510	EM1 EGSE WS-S/W R2 I&T to	ACD	12/05/02	-6	12/13/02A	9	6			
1M1001511	EM1 EGSE WS-S/W R2 I&T to	CAL	12/05/02	-6	12/13/02A	9	5			
1M1001512	EM1 EGSE WS-S/W R2 I&T to	ELX	12/05/02	-6	12/13/02A	9	7			
1M1001513	EM1 EGSE WS-S/W R2 I&T to	IOC	12/05/02	-6	12/13/02A	9	В	- Y		
1M1001514	EM1 EGSE WS-S/W R2 I&T to	TKR	12/05/02	-6	12/13/02A	9	4	. .		
1M1001430	Delv of TKR EM to SLAC I&T/M	GSE	12/09/02*	-164	08/11/03*	4	9	•		
1M1001360	FSW system spec-ELX/FSW to	I&T/Online	12/20/02	4	12/16/02A	7	9	- X		
1M1001460	IPS description-ELX to I&T/Onli	ne	12/23/02	5	12/16/02A	7	9			
1M1001210	AEM H/W driver, init ver-ELX to	l&T/Online	01/02/03*	25	11/15/02A	7	9	▼.		
1M1001310	AEM data taking desc-ELX to I&	T/Online	01/02/03*	25	11/15/02A	7	9	▼.		
1M1000980	Doc defining Backsplash Test M	lodel (ACD to I&T)	01/03/03*	0	01/03/03A	6	9			
				1	10000		1	-1 - 1		
Run Date	05/30/03 08:23	GLAS Project M 1 Yea	ST LAT PROJECT Milestones (Level 3) ar View (+/- 6mo)		0520 LTX1 - MS (I FLX1 - MS (I	L3) L3)			:	sheet 1 of 3

Attachment 2, Continued (Page 2 of 3) Level 3 Milestones (One-Year View)

Activity ID	Activ Descrip	ity tion	Target Finish Date	Variance	Scheduled Finish Date	AV	ND	FY02	FY03		FY04
Instrumen	t Proiect Office (Level 3										
1M1001390	GEM h/w driver, final ver-ELX to	I&T/Online	01/07/03	-111	06/16/03	7	9		•		
1M1001130	Tracker Tower & Tray Alignmen	t (SAS to I&T)	01/22/03*	11	01/06/03A	D	9	-	×		
1M57000020	CAL AFFE Engr Model-CAL to I	Elec	02/03/03*	-11	02/19/03A	5	7	-	Ӯ		
1M7941350	High Voltage Power Supply (Bd	& Prts)-ACD toElec	02/03/03*	-72	05/15/03*	6	7	-	•	7	
1M7941380	EGSE Workstation / Software #	3 (I&T to ACD)	03/03/03*	216	04/15/02A	9	6		•		
1M7941320	(2) ACD Electronics Modules - E	EM2 (Elec to ACD)	04/24/03	59	01/30/03A	7	6	-	▼		
1M1001490	SIS description-ELX to I&T		04/30/03*	23	03/28/03A	7	9	-	▼.		
1M1001500	Online EM2 release #1 to FSW		04/30/03	-32	06/16/03	9	7	-	•		
1M19500500	CU IPS - ELX to I&T/Online		04/30/03*	11	04/15/03A	7	9	-	X		
1M7941340	(11) FREE Bds & ASICS, (1) Fu	lly Tested Bd - EM2	05/07/03*	0	05/07/03*	6	7	-	7	7	
1M7941150	EGSE EM2 Release-Elec to I&T	-	06/12/03*	0	06/12/03*	7	9	-		\mathbf{Y}	
1M1001570	CU Monte Carlo sim from SAS t	o I&T/SVAC	06/13/03*	156	10/22/02A	D	9		▼	•	
1M1001550	Online EM2 release #2 to ELX		06/26/03	0	06/26/03	9	7	-		¥	
1M59000000	EM from CAL to I&T		07/07/03*	0	07/07/03	5	9			Y	
1M1000910	(36) MCM's for EM2 from Track	er to Elec	07/18/03	-48	09/25/03	4	7	-		• 7	7
1M75000000	(6) EM2 TEM-from Elec to CAL		08/25/03	0	08/25/03	7	5	-		¥	
1M19500400	CU S/C Simulator - ELX to I&T	Online	08/29/03*	0	08/29/03*	7	9	-		Ŷ	
Run Date	05/30/03 08:23	GLAS		1	0520	1		J		She	
© F	Primavera Systems. Inc.	Project N 1 Yea	lilestones (Level 3) ar View (+/- 6mo)		LTX1 - MS (I FLX1 - MS (I	_3) _3)				51100	

Attachment 2, Continued (Page 3 of 3) Level 3 Milestones (One-Year View)

Activity	Activit	y on	Target Finish Date	Variance	Scheduled Finish Date	AV	ND	FY02	FY03	FY04
Instrumen	Project Office (Level 3									
1M1001520	EM CAL Returned to NRL (arrive	s on dock)	09/08/03*	4	09/02/03	9	5			¥
1M1000920	EM2 TEM for Qual Towers A,B fr	om Elec to Tracker	10/16/03*	0	10/16/03*	7	4			¥
				·					: :•	
Run Date	05/30/03 08:23	GLAST LAT Project Milestor	PROJECT		0520 LTX1 - MS (L3)				Sheet 3 of 3
© P	rimavera Systems, Inc.	1 Year View	(+/- 6mo)		FLX1 - MS (L3)				





Attachment 4 LAT Costs, through April 2003, by WBS

Monthly Contractor Financial Management Report									Report for Month Endin 4/30/03	
То:				From:					Budge	et Value
Kevin Grady, GLAST Project Manager (NASA)				Tanya Boyse	en, LAT Proje	ct Controls M	anager		Cost:	Fee:
Ev Valle, LAT Project Manager (DOE)				5 5			Ū		0	0
LAT3	Туре:								Fund Limitat	ion:
GLAST LAT Project									0	
								4/3/00	Bil	ling
Reporting		Cost In	curred		E	Estimated Cos	st	Estimat	ed Final	Unfilled
Category								Co	ost	Orders
	During	Month	Cum. t	o Date	De	etail	Balance of	Project	Budget	Outstanding
	Actual	Planned	Actual	Planned	MAY03	JUN03	Budget	Estimate	Value	
4.1.1 INSTRUMENT MANAGEMENT	293	364	7,578	7,525	347	326	7,105	15,357	15,357	
4.1.2 SYSTEM ENGINEERING	100	205	3,129	3,254	175	175	2,974	6,453	6,453	
4.1.4 TRACKER	429	1,123	7,059	8,523	260	163	3,434	10,915	10,915	
4.1.5 CALORIMETER	432	256	7,804	8,887	278	576	9,172	17,830	17,830	
4.1.6 ANTICOINCIDENCE DETECTOR	650	336	7,440	7,384	418	450	3,717	12,025	12,025	
4.1.7 ELECTRONICS	379	384	5,207	5,282	351	323	10,791	16,672	16,672	
4.1.8 MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	365	315	4,100	4,959	277	384	5,612	10,373	10,373	
4.1.9 INTEGRATION & TEST	128	152	1,739	1,791	132	149	4,567	6,588	6,588	
4.1.A PERFORMANCE AND SAFETY ASSURANCE	19	30	748	1,091	29	29	801	1,607	1,607	
4.1.B LAT INSTRUMENT OPERATIONS CENTER	0	32	262	574	33	33	2,184	2,512	2,512	
4.1.C EDUCATION AND PUBLIC OUTREACH	57	38	803	880	32	32	1,816	2,684	2,684	
4.1.D SCIENCE ANALYSIS SOFTWARE	57	90	1,150	1,348	84	70	2,291	3,595	3,595	
4.1.E SUBORBITAL FLIGHT TEST	0	0	1,325	1,321	0	0	-4	1,321	1,321	
Gen. and Admin.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	2,909	3,328	48,344	52,819	2,416	2,709	54,461	107,930	107,930	

Attachment 5 LAT Costs, through April 2003, by Organization and Cost Code

Monthly Contractor Financial Managem	ent Report								Report for M 4/30/03	onth Ending:
To:				From:					Budge	et Value
Kevin Grady, GLAST Project Manager (Ev Valle, LAT Project Manager (DOE)	(NASA)			Tanya Boyse	en, LAT Proje	ct Controls M	anager		Cost: 0	Fee: 0
LAT3	Туре:								Fund Limitat	ion:
GLAST LAT Project									0	
								4/3/00	Bi	lling
Reporting		Cost In	curred		E	Estimated Co	st	Estimat	ed Final	Unfilled
Category								C	ost	Orders
	During	Month	Cum. t	o Date	De	etail	Balance of	Project	Budget	Outstanding
	Actual	Planned	Actual	Planned	MAY03	JUN03	Budget	Estimate	Value	
DG *** GSFC	649	366	8,461	8,669	446	478	5,188	14,573	14,573	
DH *** HEPL	43	193	3,232	3,832	165	149	5,388	8,934	8,934	
DL *** SLAC	1,568	2,238	24,481	26,088	1,269	1,259	27,508	54,517	54,517	
DN *** NRL	523	431	9,760	11,613	453	741	13,346	24,300	24,300	
DO *** Financial Plan Transfer/Sub Out	0	0	32	32	0	0	0	32	32	
DS *** SSU	57	37	803	878	31	31	1,743	2,609	2,609	
DT *** Texas A&M	0	0	15	16	0	0	0	16	16	
DU *** UCSC	60	54	1,530	1,657	43	43	1,051	2,666	2,666	
DW *** UW	8	9	29	33	8	8	238	283	283	
Total	2,909	3,328	48,344	52,819	2,416	2,709	54,461	107,930	107,930	

Reporting	С	ost Incurred/H	lours Worke	d	Estimated	Cost/Hours to	o Complete	Estimate	ed Final	Unfilled
Category							-	Cost/ł	Hours	Orders
	During	Month	Cum. te	o Date	D	etail	Balance of	Project	Budget	Outstanding
	Actual	Planned	Actual	Planned	MAY03	JUN03	Budget	Estimate	Value	
RL LABOR	1,447	1,243	28,187	28,846	1,185	5 1,243	27,726	58,342	58,342	
FTE (DOE/NASA)	137.0	104.3	2,441.0	2,540.7	101.0	106.0	2,380.9	5,028.9	5,028.9	
HOURS (DOE/NASA)	24,119	18,349	408,885	417,842	16,950	17,734	386,773	830,342	830,342	
RT TRAVEL	7	69	721	1,363	73	64	2,509	3,367	3,367	
RM MATERIAL & SERVICES	1,311	2,001	17,537	20,684	1,042	1,308	22,543	42,430	42,430	
RX MPS & LAB TAX	144	15	1,899	1,926	116	6 94	1,682	3,791	3,791	
Total (not incl FTE/Hours)	2,909	3,328	48,344	52,819	2,416	2,709	54,461	107,930	107,930	

Attachment 6 LAT Performance, through April 2003, by WBS

		Cost F	Performanc	e Report - V	Vork Break	down Struct	ure						
Contractor:					Contract T	ype/No:		Project Na	me/No:	Report Per	iod:		
Location:								GLAST LA	T Project	3/31/03		4/30/03	
Quantity	Negotia	ted Cost	Est. Cost	Authorized	Tgt. I	Profit/	Tgt.	Est	Share	Contract	Esti	mated Cont	ract
			Unprice	ed Work	Fe	e %	Price	Price	Ratio	Ceiling		Ceiling	
1		0	(0	0	0	0	0		0		0	
CAPW[3]		C	urrent Peric	bd			Cui	nulative to [Date		A	t Completio	n
			Actual					Actual					
	Budget	ed Cost	Cost	Vari	ance	Budget	ed Cost	Cost	Var	ance		Latest	
	Work	Work	Work			Work	Work	Work				Revised	
Item	Scheduled	Performed	Performed	Schedule	Cost	Scheduled	Performed	Performed	Schedule	Cost	Budgeted	Estimate	Variance
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
4.1.1 INSTRUMENT MANAGEMENT	364	364	293	0	72	7,525	7,525	7,578	0	-54	15,357	15,357	0
4.1.2 SYSTEM ENGINEERING	205	205 205 10		0	106	3,254	3,254	3,129	0	126	6,453	6,453	0
4.1.4 TRACKER	1,123	1,126	429	3	698	8,523	7,843	7,059	-680	784	10,915	10,915	0
4.1.5 CALORIMETER	256	606	432	350	174	8,887	8,219	7,804	-668	416	17,830	17,830	0
4.1.6 ANTICOINCIDENCE DETECTOR	336	360	650	23	-290	7,384	6,429	7,440	-955	-1,010	12,025	12,025	0
4.1.7 ELECTRONICS	384	318	379	-66	-61	5,282	5,152	5,207	-130	-55	16,672	16,672	0
4.1.8 MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	315	284	365	-31	-81	4,959	4,383	4,100	-576	283	10,373	10,373	0
4.1.9 INTEGRATION & TEST	152	109	128	-43	-19	1,791	1,733	1,739	-58	-6	6,588	6,588	0
4.1.A PERFORMANCE AND SAFETY ASSURA	30	30	19	0	11	1,091	1,091	748	0	343	1,607	1,607	0
4.1.B LAT INSTRUMENT OPERATIONS CENT	32	17	0	-15	17	574	503	262	-71	241	2,512	2,512	0
4.1.C EDUCATION AND PUBLIC OUTREACH	38	26	57	-12	-31	880	871	803	-8	68	2,684	2,684	0
4.1.D SCIENCE ANALYSIS SOFTWARE	90 67 57 -23		10	1,348	1,313	1,150	-35	163	3,595	3,595	0		
4.1.E SUBORBITAL FLIGHT TEST	0 0 0 0		0	1,321	1,321	1,325	0	-4	1,321	1,321	0		
Gen. and Admin.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Undist. Budget					•••						0	0	0
Sub I otal	3,328 3,513 2,909 186		604	52,819	49,638	48,344	-3,181	1,294	107,930	107,930	0		
Contingency		. = 1.0									13,783	13,783	
lotal	3,328	3,513	2,909	186	604	52,819	49,638	48,344	-3,181	1,294	121,713	121,713	

				Cost Pe	rformance I	Report - Org	ganization						
Contractor: Location:					Contract T	ype/No:		Project Na GLAST LA	me/No: T Project	Report Per 3/31/03	iod:	4/30/03	
Quantity 1	Negotiat	ted Cost	Est. Cost / Unprice	Authorized ed Work	Tgt. I Fe	Profit/ e % 0	Tgt. Price	Est Price	Share Ratio	Contract Ceiling	Esti	mated Conf Ceiling 0	ract
OBS	,	C	urrent Peric	od	0	Ĵ	Cur	nulative to [Date	Ű	A	t Completio	n
	Budget Work	ed Cost Work	Actual Cost Work	Vari	ance	Budget Work	ed Cost Work	Actual Cost Work	Vari	ance		Latest Revised	
Item	Scheduled	Performed	Performed	Schedule	Cost	Scheduled	Performed	Performed	Schedule	Cost	Budgeted	Estimate	Variance
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
DG *** GSFC	366	345	649	-21	-304	8,669	7,715	8,461	-955	-746	14,573	14,573	0
DH *** HEPL	193	152	43	-41	109	3,832	3,705	3,232	-127	474	8,934	8,934	0
DL *** SLAC	2,238	2,289	1,568	51	721	26,088	24,738	24,481	-1,350	257	54,517	54,517	0
DN *** NRL	431	717	523	286	194	11,613	10,893	9,760	-720	1,133	24,300	24,300	0
DO *** Financial Plan	0	0	0	0	0	32	32	32	0	0	32	32	
DS *** SSU	37	26	57	-11	-31	878	869	803	-9	65	2,609	2,609	0
DT *** Texas A&M	0	0	0	0	0	16	16	15	0	0	16	16	0
DU *** UCSC	54	-24	60	-79	-84	1,657	1,637	1,530	-20	107	2,666	2,666	0
DW *** UW	9	9	8	0	1	33	33	29	0	4	283	283	
Gen. and Admin.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Undist. Budget											0	0	0
Sub Total	3,328	3,513	2,909	185	604	52,819	49,638	48,344	-3,181	1,294	107,930	107,930	0
Contingency											13,783	13,783	
Total	3,328	3,513	2,909	185	604	52,819	49,638	48,344	-3,181	1,294	121,713	121,713	

Attachment 7 LAT Performance, through April 2003, by Organization

	WBS	BAC	BCWS	BCWP	ACWP	SV \$	CV \$	% BCWS	% BCWP	% ACWP	SV Trend	CV Trend	SPI	CPI	Cpi_Fcst	CpiSpi_Fcst
1	4.1	107,930	52,819	49,638	48,344	-3,181	1,294	48.94	45.99	44.79	1	1	0.940	1.027	105,117	108,755
2	4.1.1	15,357	7,525	7,525	7,578	0	-54	49.00	49.00	49.35	\leftrightarrow	1	1.000	0.993	15,466	15,466
3	4.1.2	6,453	3,254	3,254	3,129	0	126	50.43	50.43	48.49	\leftrightarrow	1	1.000	1.040	6,203	6,203
4	4.1.4	10,915	8,523	7,843	7,059	-680	784	78.08	71.85	64.67	1	1	0.920	1.111	9,824	10,064
5	4.1.5	17,830	8,887	8,219	7,804	-668	416	49.85	46.10	43.77	1	1	0.925	1.053	16,928	17,670
6	4.1.6	12,025	7,384	6,429	7,440	-955	-1,010	61.41	53.47	61.87	\leftrightarrow	\downarrow	0.871	0.864	13,914	14,876
7	4.1.7	16,672	5,282	5,152	5,207	-130	-55	31.68	30.90	31.23	\downarrow	\downarrow	0.975	0.989	16,850	17,143
8	4.1.8	10,373	4,959	4,383	4,100	-576	283	47.80	42.26	39.53	\leftrightarrow	\downarrow	0.884	1.069	9,703	10,438
9	4.1.9	6,588	1,791	1,733	1,739	-58	-6	27.19	26.31	26.41	\downarrow	\downarrow	0.968	0.996	6,611	6,774
10	4.1.A	1,607	1,091	1,091	748	0	343	67.90	67.90	46.55	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	1.000	1.459	1,102	1,102
11	4.1.B	2,512	574	503	262	-71	241	22.86	20.03	10.45	\downarrow	\leftrightarrow	0.876	1.917	1,310	1,458
12	4.1.C	2,684	880	871	803	-8	68	32.78	32.47	29.94	\downarrow	\downarrow	0.990	1.084	2,475	2,491
13	4.1.D	3,595	1,348	1,313	1,150	-35	163	37.48	36.51	31.99	\downarrow	\leftrightarrow	0.974	1.141	3,150	3,203
14	4.1.E	1,321	1,321	1,321	1,325	0	-4	100.00	100.00	100.29	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	1.000	0.997	1,325	1,325

Attachment 8 LAT Performance Analysis, April 2003

LEGEND

BAC: Budget At CompleteSV \$: Schedule Variance = BCWP - BCWS% BCWS: Percent Scheduled = BCWS/BAC BCWS: Budgeted Cost of Work Scheduled (to date)CV \$: Cost Variance = BCWP - ACWP% BCWP: Percent Complete = BCWP/BAC BCWP: Budgeted Cost of Work Performed (to date)SPI: Schedule Performance Index = BCWP/BCWS% ACWP: Percent Spent = ACWP/BAC ACWP: Actual Cost of Work Performed (to date)CPI: Cost Performance Index = BCWP/ACWP

SV Trend: Schedule Variance Trend = SV\$ / BCWS

CV Trend: Cost Variance Trend = CV\$ / BCWP

Cpi_Fcst CPI (to date) EAC Forecast = BAC / CPI

CpiSpi_Fcst. Combination CPI and SPI EAC Forecast = ACWP + (BAC - BCWP) / (CPI *SPI)

Worse than -15%	Between -5% and 10%
Between -15% and -5%	Better than 10%
Change Threshold 10%	

Attachment 9 LAT Manpower (DOE/NASA-Funded)





Attachment 10												
LAT Manpower	Data, through April 2003, by Organization											

Program:	Description:				Approval:										
LAT3	ct		Program Manager												
Run Date: Status Date:				Functional Manager											
5/1/05	4/30/03			0		I ACCOUNT Manager			Cum to						
CAPW[3]		PRIOR	NOV02	DEC02	JAN03	FEB03	MAR03	APR03	Date	MAY03	JUN03	JUL03	AUG03	SEP03	OCT03
4.1.1 INSTRUMEN	T MANAGEMENT														
FTE	PLANNED	217.8	11.1	11.1	11.1	4.8	47.5	18.1	321.4	18.0	16.7	16.8	16.8	16.8	15.0
	ACTUALS	213.4	10.7	12.5	11.8	13.9	36.7	15.5	314.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4.1.2 SYSTEM ENG	GINEERING														
FTE	PLANNED	39.1	2.1	2.0	1.8	1.9	-6.1	1.6	42.4	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5
	ACTUALS	27.7	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.4	2.0	1.7	36.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4.1.4 TRACKER															
FTE	PLANNED	530.8	25.8	27.3	26.1	26.6	15.3	28.3	680.3	28.1	19.9	17.6	18.5	20.5	21.2
	ACTUALS	496.8	24.5	25.3	21.4	22.9	18.9	24.1	633.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4.1.5 CALORIMETE	R														
FIE	PLANNED	1034.1	57.4	44.2	48.5	49.2	45.0	43.3	1321.7	44.0	51.8	52.4	48.7	50.7	55.5
		319.9	22.9	24.9	16.0	16.5	18.1	17.2	435.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4.1.6 AN LICOINCIL		220 7	22.0	10.0	10 5	40.0	52.0	00.0	405.0	20.0	20.2	45 5	40.4	10.0	10.0
FIE		329.7	22.9	19.0	19.5	18.3	53.Z	23.3	485.9	20.6	20.3	15.5	10.4	19.8	19.0
	ACTUALS	301.7	31.5	39.1	30.3	21.2	29.4	42.3	501.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4.1.7 ELECTRONIC		261.7	77	13 3	10 1	21.1	16 1	18.6	357 7	18.5	17 0	17 0	13.7	21.6	21.3
	ACTUALS	272.0	86	10.8	13.1	18.6	22.2	25.1	370.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.0	21.0
4 1 8 MECHANICA	SYSTEMS	272.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	10.0		20.1	01010	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
FTF		141 5	13.8	75	84	78	-4 9	81	182.1	65	4 0	46	53	6.9	62
	ACTUALS	100.4	8.5	9.2	9.5	10.6	-7.3	7.8	138.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4.1.9 INSTRUMEN	T INTEGRATION ANI	D TESTING													
FTE	PLANNED	100.3	6.8	13.2	10.2	7.5	8.3	9.8	156.1	9.5	12.8	11.5	16.9	12.3	12.3
	ACTUALS	90.5	9.7	8.3	8.2	11.4	10.3	9.8	148.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4.1.A PERFORMAN	ICE AND SAFETY AS	SSURANCE													
FTE	PLANNED	54.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	-7.0	0.9	58.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
	ACTUALS	43.7	1.8	2.1	2.0	2.1	-4.0	1.0	48.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4.1.B LAT INSTRU	MENT OPERATIONS	CENTER													
FTE	PLANNED	25.0	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.3	38.3	2.4	2.4	2.2	2.2	1.9	1.8
	ACTUALS	22.7	0.0	1.7	-1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4.1.C EDUCATION	AND PUBLIC OUTR	EACH													
FIE	PLANNED	46.2	1.7	1.6	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	57.4	2.0	2.0	6.4	2.0	2.0	2.0
		47.4	5.5	3.0	1.7	2.3	4.5	4.3	68.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4.1.D SCIENCE AN		226 7	10.0	00.1	20.2	25.0	24.7	24.7	470 6	247	04 E	24.4	22.0	22.6	7 20
FIE		330.7	10.2	23.1	20.2	25.0	24.7 10.1	24.7	4/2.0	24.7	24.5	24.1	23.0	23.0	21.1
	ELICHT TEST	195.6	10.2	10.5	11.5	11.0	12.1	11.5	203.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4.1.L SOBORBITAL		111 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	111 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	ACTUALS	75.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Grand Totals	, .010//20	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	PLANNED	3229.4	172.2	167.1	171.9	168.8	196.4	180.7	4286.5	176.8	174.6	171.4	166.0	178.6	184.3
	ACTUALS	2207.2	134.9	148.6	125.6	138.6	142.8	160.2	3057.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0