DOE/NASA Baseline-Preliminary Design Review, January 8, 2002

IOC Overview

- Overview
- Requirements
- WBS Organization
- Development Plan
- MO&DA Plan
- Prototyping and Trade Studies
- Conclusions



Mission Operations Architecture



S. Williams



LAT Operations Facility Functions



DOE/NASA Baseline-Preliminary Design Review, January 8, 2002

FUSE Comparison



INTEGRATED TOOLSET

- SCL
- SAMMI
- O2
 - STK
- Orbix
- NDDS

• IDL

The LOF will consist of about 1/2 the resource of the FUSE Control Center at Johns Hopkins University (as shown here inside blue border). Probable common COTS S/W tools are shown in red.





LAT Operations Facility Functions

- Instrument Control & Operation Status & condition monitoring, limit checking, procedure development, command generation, trending, logging.
- Level 0 LAT Data

Data acquisition & management, archiving, data distribution, data quality verification.

Operations Uploads

Flight software updates, command sequences, parameter tables.

Test & Calibration

Mode control, procedure development, on-orbit calibration scheduling, implementation, and data acquisition, systems analysis.

Instrument Operations

Planning & scheduling, procedure development, upload validation and verification, anomaly resolution.

Communication Support

LAT IOC interfaces to MOC, SSC, SAS.

Operations Environment Maintenance

LOF maintenance and upgrade, LAT Testbed maintenance, Database maintenance, crew resource management, documentation.



- IOC effort is on schedule and budget
- Tasks completed since Pre-Baseline Review (2/13/01)
 - Released IOC Level III requirements document and conducted IOC Requirements Review - 5/4/01.
 - Conducted IOC Peer Design Reviews 8/17/01, 10/11/01.
 - Participated in GLAST Operations Working Group (GLOWG) including revision of GLAST Operations Concept Document.
 - Supported the GLAST Data Products Working Group development of data product ICDs.
 - Supported I&T PDR preparations as Instrument Ops Coordinator.
 - Supported development of Online System (EGSE) requirements.
 - Supported balloon flight EGSE and operations procedures development and 8/4/01 flight.
 - Revised WBS, schedule, and cost estimate to support 6 month launch slip and mandatory descope. Completed inputs to PMCS.



Requirements Traceability





Level II Requirements from OCD





Level II Requirements from MSS





LOF Level III Requirements

Ref: LAT-SS-00021

| Requirement | Function | Requirement Summary | | | |
|-------------|--|--|---------------|--|--|
| 5.2 | Level Zero Data | The LAT IOC receives the Level 0 GLAST data from the MOC, verifies data quality, and distributes the data to the DPF for processing. | Demonstration | | |
| 5.3 | Instrument Health and Safety Monitoring | The IOC monitors, assesses, and records the health and safety of the instrument and supports the resolution of anomalies. | Demonstration | | |
| 5.4 | Instrument Commanding | The IOC develops command uploads and procedures for the instrument to adjust the instrument configuration, on-board calibration, or flight software. | Demonstration | | |
| 5.5 | Anomaly Detection and Resolution | The IOC monitors the LAT instrument for anomalies and provides analyses to support their safe resolution. | Demonstration | | |
| 5.6 | Operational Databases | The IOC develops and maintains databases for LAT operations. | Demonstration | | |
| 5.7 | Test and Calibration Data Processing | The IOC acquires test and calibration data to aid in assessing the performance of the instrument and adjust the instrument tables, engineering calibration, or software as required. | Demonstration | | |
| 5.8 | Instrument Performance Assessment | The IOC monitors and assesses the performance of the instrument. | Demonstration | | |
| 5.9 | Instrument Configuration | The IOC monitors and adjusts the instrument configuration, calibration, or software as required. | Demonstration | | |
| 5.10 | Validating and Maintaining LAT Flight Software | The IOC maintains the onboard LAT flight software. | Demonstration | | |
| 5.11 | LAT Testbed | The IOC maintains and operates a LAT testbed for use in developing, validating, and verifying changes to LAT flight software, command procedures, and instrument parameters. | Demonstration | | |
| 5.12 | Alerts | The IOC supports transient event alerts from GLAST. | Demonstration | | |
| 5.13 | Data Standards | The IOC adheres to mission specified data standards. | Demonstration | | |
| 5.14 | Data Formats | The IOC adheres to mission specified data formats. | Demonstration | | |
| 5.15 | Maintainability and Availability | The IOC meets mission specified maintainability and availability requirements | Demonstration | | |
| 5.16 | Security | The IOC shall be connected to the other operations and support centers by an intranet of wide area networks that is closed to, or protected from, public users of the external internet per NASA NPD 2810.1. | Demonstration | | |
| 5.17 | Quality Assurance | The IOC shall maintain the integrity of LAT uploads and science data during transmission and processing of the data. | Demonstration | | |
| 5.18 | Integration and Test Support | The IOC supports LAT and GLAST mission systems integration and test. | Demonstration | | |
| 5.19 | Mission Support | The IOC supports the GLAST mission launch and orbital operations. | Demonstration | | |



Work Breakdown Structure

| WBS | Task | Responsibility |
|-----------|--|----------------|
| 4.1.B | Instrument Operations Center | Williams |
| 4.1.B.1 | Project Management | Williams |
| 4.1.B.1.1 | Project Administration | |
| 4.1.B.1.2 | Meetings & Reviews | |
| 4.1.B.1.3 | Logistics Management | |
| 4.1.B.1.4 | Travel | |
| 4.1.B.1.5 | Project Support | |
| 4.1.B.2 | Performance Assurance | TBD1 |
| 4.1.B.2.1 | IOC Performance Assurance | |
| 4.1.B.2.2 | IOC Verification | |
| 4.1.B.3 | Mission & Operations Planning | Williams |
| 4.1.B.3.1 | Operations Concept Development | |
| 4.1.B.3.2 | Integration & Test Planning | |
| 4.1.B.3.3 | Mission Operations Planning | |
| 4.1.B.4 | LAT Operations Facility | TBD2 |
| 4.1.B.4.1 | System Conceptual Design | |
| 4.1.B.4.2 | Data Acquisition S/W Development | |
| 4.1.B.4.3 | Operations Software Development | |
| 4.1.B.4.4 | Command & Telemetry Development | |
| 4.1.B.4.5 | LOF System Development | |
| 4.1.B.5 | LOF Test | TBD1 |
| 4.1.B.5.1 | Test Planning | |
| 4.1.B.5.2 | Test Development | |
| 4.1.B.5.3 | Verification Testing | |
| 4.1.B.5.4 | LOF Interfact Tests | |
| 4.1.B.5.5 | LOF I&T Travel | |

| WBS | Task | Responsibility |
|-----------|--|----------------|
| 4.1.B.6 | LAT Performance Verification | Lauben |
| 4.1.B.6.1 | Performance Verification Test Planning | |
| 4.1.B.6.2 | Analysis Software | |
| 4.1.B.6.3 | Display Software | |
| 4.1.B.6.4 | LAT Calibration Support | |
| 4.1.B.6.5 | LAT Testbed | |
| 4.1.B.7 | LAT Integration & Test | TBD1 |
| 4.1.B.7.1 | Qualification Unit Test Support | |
| 4.1.B.7.2 | Flight Unit Test Support | |
| 4.1.B.7.3 | LAT I&T Travel | |
| 4.1.B.8 | Mission Systems Integration & Test | TBD1 |
| 4.1.B.8.1 | Observatory Testing | |
| 4.1.B.8.2 | Ground Systems Testing | |
| 4.1.B.8.3 | Training Simulations | |
| 4.1.B.8.4 | Launch & Early Operations Support | |
| 4.1.B.8.5 | MSI&T Travel | |
| 4.1.B.9 | Mission Operations & Data Analysis | Williams |
| 4.1.B.9.1 | MO&DA Management | |
| 4.1.B.9.2 | Science Operations | |
| 4.1.B.9.3 | LAT Operations | |
| 4.1.B.9.4 | LOF Systems Support | |
| 4.1.B.9.5 | LAT Testbed | |
| 4.1.B.9.6 | LAT Engineering Support | |
| | | |

DOE/NASA Baseline-Preliminary Design Review, January 8, 2002

WBS Organization



WBS Interfaces



13

DOE/NASA Baseline-Preliminary Design Review, January 8, 2002

Development

- Resources constrained in FY02/03
- IOC CDR in 12/03

DOE/NASA Baseline-Preliminary Design Review, January 8, 2002



Key IOC Milestones

| • | IOC Requirements Review | 05/01 |
|---|--|-------|
| • | IOC Peer Design Review | 08/01 |
| • | IOC Pre PDR | 10/01 |
| • | LAT Instrument PDR | 01/02 |
| • | Online System Specification from I&T | 05/02 |
| • | LAT Instrument CDR | 08/02 |
| • | IOC CDR (TBR) | 12/03 |
| • | LOF S/W Release 1 | 06/04 |
| • | Environmental Test Database Release | 06/04 |
| • | LOF-DPF Interface Test | 06/04 |
| • | LOF Development Model Complete | 12/04 |
| • | Observatory I&T Database Release | 12/04 |
| • | LOF S/W Release 2 | 02/05 |
| • | LOF-DPF-MOC-SSC Interface Test 1 | 02/05 |
| • | LOF-DPF-MOC-SSC Interface Test 2 | 06/05 |
| • | LOF Validation & Verification Complete | 07/05 |

GLAST Project milestones in blue

15

DOE/NASA Baseline-Preliminary Design Review, January 8, 2002



Key IOC Milestones

| • | LOF S/W Release 3 | 09/05 |
|---|--|-------|
| • | Ground Systems Compatibility Test (TBR) | 09/05 |
| • | Operations Simulation 1 (TBR) | 10/05 |
| • | Mission Sequence Test (TBR) | 10/05 |
| • | LOF Operations Model Complete, Flight DB Release | 11/05 |
| • | Operations Simulation 2 (TBR) | 12/05 |
| • | IOC Readiness Review | 01/06 |
| • | LOF S/W Release 4 | 01/06 |
| • | End-to-end Test (TBR) | 01/06 |
| • | LAT Flight Readiness Review (FRR) | 02/06 |
| • | Operations Simulation 3 (TBR) | 02/06 |
| • | Launch | 03/06 |
| • | LAT Operations Readiness Review | L+3d |
| • | LAT Activation and Checkout Complete | L+30d |
| • | LAT Instrument Commissioning Complete | L+60d |
| • | LAT Verification Phase Complete | L+14m |

Documentation Plan



S. Williams

IOC Overview



Development Flow





IOC Development Staffing

Manager - S. Williams

GLAST LAT Project

Technical management, reporting, mission planning, concept and requirements development, command & telemetry database, operations procedures and documentation, I&T support planning, crew resource management.

Scientist - D. Lauben

LAT performance verification, calibration support, analysis and display prototyping, science planning and ops tools, LAT Testbed support, SSC interface (inst. scheduling), DPF interface (level 0 data & performance metrics).

Scientist – TBD, late FY05

LAT performance verification, calibration support, analysis and display prototyping, science analysis tools, SSC and DPF interface (analysis tools).

Engineer - TBD2, mid-FY03

LOF development, operations S/W development, distributed monitoring, procedure and upload verification & validation, MOC interface (data flow).

Engineer - TBD1, FY04

Verification and QA support, test planning, command & telemetry database, operations procedures and documentation, I&T interface, MOC interface (commanding & databases).

Programmer - TBD3, FY04

Computer systems management, data processing S/W, operations S/W, LAT Testbed support, LAT Testbed, FSW interface.



Development Labor Plan

Staffing for IOC includes hiring profile of 1 FTE staff in FY03 (TBD2) and 2 FTE in FY04 (TBD1, TBD3) to stabilize at 5 FTEs plus students.



IOC Staffing

20

DOE/NASA Baseline-Preliminary Design Review, January 8, 2002

IOC Cost Profile





MO&DA

- Launch Data March 2006
- Phase 0 Launch & early orbit: up to 60 days
 - S/C configuration and checkout: 10 days
 - LAT turn-on, configuration, and checkout: 20 days
 - Subsystem checks
 - Initial in-orbit calibration and alignment
 - LAT commissioning 30 days
 - Initial science observations
 - Instrument Response Functions understood
- Phase 1 Verification and Sky Survey: 12 months
 - LAT science verification
 - up to 20% of observing time for LAT calibration and test
- Phase 2 Science Observations: minimum 4 years
 - Peer review driven investigations
 - 5% observing time for LAT calibrations and maintenance

DOE/NASA Baseline-Preliminary Design Review, January 8, 2002



LAT Operating Modes

Science observing modes

GLAST LAT Project

- Standard, Solar Flare, and GRB (TBR), distinguished by trigger criteria and post-trigger rejection cuts (possibly on the ground) for charged particles
- Each science mode will have well-characterized (and monitored) instrument response functions
- Engineering-related modes

| Standby | All subsystems configured and ready for transition to nominal observing |
|-------------|---|
| Engineering | Used for flight software update, parameter changes, subsystem |
| | configuration changes |
| Calibration | Raw L1T data downlink, other subsystem calibration modes which |
| | impact science observing |
| SAA Mode | Safing of ACD photomultiplier tubes for high ambient charged particle |
| | density environments like the South Atlantic Anomaly |
| Sensors On | All sensor subsystems powered on and housekeeping active |
| DAQ On | All nodes of LAT DAQ powered on and configured, housekeeping |
| | active |



LAT Operating Modes (2)

- Calibration mode

 E.g. no event filtering, verify FSW and check for rate-dependent effects in LAT response
- Other mode
 - Survival LAT off (survival heaters turned on)





MO&DA Roles

Observer

Scientist managing science planning and instrument operations, verifying data quality, and interfacing with the DPF/SSC. Consists of two 50% staff positions with lead responsibility on alternating weeks. Off week reserved for science analysis and primary backup. Cross trained with operators. Also filled by collaboration volunteers.

Operator

Engineers monitoring data acquisition, monitoring LAT health & status, performing daily trend analyses, managing uploads, interfacing to the MOC, scheduling instrument activity. Two fulltime positions with offset shift times to expand coverage. Cross trained with Observers. Also filled by collaboration volunteers.

Monitor

Staff and collaboration volunteers providing LAT data quality and acquisition monitoring and LAT configuration and performance monitoring during MOC and LOF off-shift periods.



Monitoring

- Purpose
 - to ensure integrity of IOC data acquisition and processing
 - to support lights out MOC in monitoring LAT status
- Exploit worldwide GLAST collaboration to provide distributed monitoring during lights out operations. Monitoring schedule filled weekly by LOF staff and collaboration volunteers.
- Provide web form with embedded data on data acquisition status, housekeeping, command status, science plan, LAT operations plan, recent data products, S/C alerts, and trend plots. One session requires about 15 minutes with inputs automatically entered in operations log.
- Provide abundant help and guidance/procedures for anomalies including pager/cell phone of responsible off-shift staff

| Time | IOC | GSFC/NRL | France/Italy | Japan | Concept weekend |
|-------|-------|----------|--------------|-------|-------------------|
| UT | PST | EST | Europe | Japan | monitoring |
| 1-3 | 17-19 | 20-22 | 2-4 | 9-11 | schedule with |
| 5-7 | 21-23 | 0-2 | 6-8 | 13-15 | target monitoring |
| 9-11 | 1-3 | 4-6 | 10-12 | 17-19 | neriods |
| 13-15 | 5-7 | 8-10 | 14-16 | 21-23 | Drimory Monitor |
| 17-19 | 9-11 | 12-14 | 18-20 | 1-3 | |
| 21-23 | 13-15 | 16-18 | 22-24 | 5-7 | Backup Monitor |

S. Williams

IOC Overview



MO&DA Staffing

Manager - S. Williams

Technical management, reporting, mission planning, crew resource management, data analysis, Observer/Operator.

Science Observer - D. Lauben

LAT science operations, data analysis, calibration support, science planning and operations tools, LAT testbed support, DPF interface.

Science Observer – S. Digel

LAT science operations, data analysis, calibration support, science analysis tools, SSC interface.

Instrument Operator - TBD1

LOF management, operations S/W maintenance, LAT testbed support, distributed monitoring management, MOC interface for data acquisition.

Instrument Operator - TBD2

Configuration management, verification and QA support, command & telemetry database, operations procedures and documentation, MOC I/F for databases

LOF Systems Support - TBD

Ground Systems Engineer, instrument operator, computer systems management, data processing & operations S/W maintenance, FSW interface.

27



Single FTE labeled other represents Monitor contribution from collaboration members.

LOF Staffing in MO&DA





Balloon Flight

- Successful one-day flight in August 2001 from Palestine, Texas
- BFEM Objective 4 Demonstrate an efficient data analysis chain that meets the requirement for the future IOC.
 - Demonstrate GSE capable of supporting data acquisition, archive and display from the BFEM and commanding of the BFEM
 - Acquire and display ground test and flight BFEM data for verification of BFEM status
 - Prototype IOC functions in support of flight IOC development
- Finding 1 Rapid prototyping and development of new displays and quicklook analysis tools should be supported.
 - facilitates ID and resolution of anomalies, verification of integrated performance, and inclusion of revisions developed by other subsystems
- Finding 2 heterogeneous h/w and s/w environment should be supported by using packetized ethernet distribution of data.
 - Allows the re-use of subsystem test s/w and display tools
 - Allows easy augmentation or replacement of hardware functions with available resources





30



Balloon Flight Housekeeping Display

- Simple tabular text, line plots
- Forward trend extrapolation



| Dac | Houseke | eping (VSAM) | FM GDS TH | me | |
|-----|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------|--------|
| 17- | $\Delta_{11\sigma} = 2001$ | 06.52.22 US | /Pacific | (wall | clock) |
| ch | raw | calib | name | (warr | crock) |
| 0 | 1.204 | 0.72 A | t.kr2i | | |
| 1 | 2.417 | 2.30 V | tkr2v | | |
| 2 | 1.791 | 1.07 A | tkr3i | | |
| 3 | 3.530 | 3.35 V | tkr3v | | |
| 4 | 1.024 | 0.61 A | tkr5i | | |
| 5 | 2.027 | 3.04 V | tkr5v | <4.8 | |
| 6 | 3.351 | 117.27 V | tkrHvV | | |
| 7 | 4.964 | 496.35 uA | tkrHvI | | |
| 8 | 0.299 | 25.79 C | tkrPsT | | |
| 9 | 3.431 | 5.15 V | cal5v | | |
| 10 | 0.923 | 0.55 A | cal5i | | |
| 11 | 0.299 | 25.69 C | calPsT | | |
| 12 | 0.016 | 0.02 N/C | deadChar | ı | |
| 13 | 2.484 | 4.97 V | acdDig5 | 7 | |
| 14 | -0.030 | 1.01 A | acdDig5i | Ĺ | |
| 15 | 2.461 | 4.92 V | acdAna5 | 7 | |
| 16 | -0.039 | 1.29 A | acdAna5i | Ĺ | |
| 17 | 7.978 | 28.08 V | acd28v | | |
| 18 | -0.016 | 549.65 mA | acd28i | | |
| 19 | 0.298 | 25.46 T | acdPs'I' | | |
| 20 | 3.094 | 36.45 T | acdHV'I' | | |
| 21 | 6.151 | 12.30 V | xgt12v | | |
| 22 | -0.008 | 281.31 IIA | xgtizi | | |
| 23 | 2.922 | 19.15 C | callopi | | |
| 24 | 1.992 | 497.97 V | XGLHVU | | |
| 25 | 1 944 | 469.10 V | XGLHVI VGtHV2 | | |
| 20 | 1 994 | 405.99 V 496 17 V | xgtHv2 | | |
| 28 | 3 235 | 0 18 a | magRoll | | |
| 29 | 3 415 | 0.10 g | magRoitch | h | |
| 30 | 50 000 | 0.22 g 0 90 Psi | ExtPr | 1 | |
| 31 | 3,142 | 7.72 Psi | IntPr | <10.0 | r |
| | 0.110 | | 111011 | | |
| | | | | 7 | |
| | | | | / | |
| 1 | inder | ressure | alarm | า | |
| Ľ | | JUSSUIC | ululli | L | |



Balloon Flight Go/NoGo

With infrastructure in place, this display took only ~1 hour to create...





Balloon Flight Raw Event Display

Primitive Display:

- Shows sample events in real time, has optional display filters (cuts)
- Minimal calibration/algorithms
- No event reconstruction

Straight Track Cut (Muons):

- Verify geometry model and alignment between Tracker, Calorimeter, and Acd tiles
- Verify event synchronization





Trade Studies

- Several trade studies will be completed prior to IOC PDR
 - Command management and control (or test executive) software
 - Wide area network interface to GLAST ground segment
 - Location of LAT Operations Facility at Stanford
 - Command and telemetry database software
 - Data analysis and visualization software
 - Data management and realtime display software
 - LOF workstation hardware and operating system
- Resources are constrained in FY02/03
 - Leverage I&T online system development by participating in development and planning for evolution to meet IOC requirements.

DOE/NASA Baseline-Preliminary Design Review, January 8, 2002

LAT Data Path



S. Williams

IOC Overview



Test Executive Trade Study

| Company: Product | Contact and phone | Open | Supported | Export | Upfront | wabsita |
|---|--|--|--|---------|---------|---|
| Name | # | Source | Platforms | Control | Cost | websile |
| Colorado U/LASP: OASIS | Randy Davis 1-303-492- 6867; Michelle Kelly 303- 492-4624 | Source is free, but not "open source"- ADA | Solaris 2.5.1 Ulatrasparc | No | | http://lasp.colorado.edu/oa sis/oasis.html |
| Harris Corp: OS - Comet | Trip Carter 303-738-9122, Cell 303-884-8495, wcarte08@harris.com | No-C | Unix | yes | | http://www.sticomet.com/p roducts.asp |
| Interface ControlSystems: SCL | Brian Buckley 321-723- 0399, buckley@interfacecontrol.c om | Yes- C, C++, Java | NT, Solaris VX, Redhat Linux+Realtim e Extensions | no | | http://www.interfacecontrol .com/aerospace.htm |
| Talarian: Smart Sockets (formally RT - Works | Abraham Glazer, 650-695- 8050x104,abraham.glazer @talarian.com | No - C | NT, Solaris, Linux | no | | http://www.talarian.com/ |
| GSFC: ITOS | Bill Mocarsky, William.L.Mocarsky.1@gsf c.nasa.gov | No - C | Linux, Solaris, Free BSD | yes | | http://itos.gsfc.nasa.gov/ |
| GSFC: ASSIST | Bill Mocarsky, William.L.Mocarsky.1@gsf c.nasa.gov | No-C | Linux, IBM AIX | yes | | None found. |

DOE/NASA Baseline-Preliminary Design Review, January 8, 2002

SCL Overview





Issues & Mitigations

Uncertainty in GLAST operations concept for downlink rate, downlinks per day, and downlink margin complicates IOC planning.

Participate in GLAST Ops Working Group

Provide flexibility in LOF support via global monitoring concept

The development schedule for the Mission Operations Center (MOC), Spacecraft, and ground communications segment lags the LAT schedule by approximately 12 months.

Performed detailed ground systems functional allocation study and developed level II requirements document.

Hold IOC PDR with LAT but allow IOC CDR to match schedule with remainder of ground segment.

Resources in FY02/03 are limited.

Leverage I&T online system development by participating in development and planning for evolution to meet IOC requirements.

Use Systems Engineering resources to support systems level planning and design integration.

Exploit balloon flight experience in display prototyping and data visualization.

Conclusions

- IOC plan is captured in PMCS and ready for baselining
 - Established cost plan fits available funding
 - Schedule meets program requirements
 - Contingency (24% overall, but 31% on LOF) is adequate
 - Delay in IOC CDR preserves flexibility to accommodate program level changes
- Requirements flowdown from GLAST Operations Concept must be completed
 - Updates needed for MSS, PDMP, LAT IOC Level II Spec.
- MOC and S/C selections in spring 2002 is eagerly anticipated
 - Allow development of LOF interface requirements

39

DOE/NASA Baseline-Preliminary Design Review, January 8, 2002



Background Material

Definitions

- Level 0 Processing Space-to-ground artifact removal
 - Processing of raw instrument data. Level 0 data processing consists of time-ordering packets, removing corrupted, incomplete, or duplicate packets, annotating quality, and can include separating housekeeping, calibration, science, and engineering data streams.
- Level 1 Processing
 - Processing of level 0 data into level 1 data. Level 1 data processing consists of creating a database of reconstructed gamma-ray photons and cosmic-rays which includes energy, direction of arrival, quality parameters, and associated exposure maps.
- **Higher Level Science Processing**
 - Processing of level 1 data into derived science products. Science data processing includes detecting sources, measuring spectra, determining time histories, and locating potential counterparts in other astronomical catalogs.

IOC Overview



Requirements Traceability





IOC Cost & Commitments





| Activity ID | Activity Description | Orig PMT Dur | % Early Comp Start | Early Finish | Total Float | Budgeted Cost | FY01 | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 |
|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|------------------|------------|-------------|---------|----------|------------|------|
| 4 GLAST INSTRU | UMENTS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1 GLAST LAT P | ROJECT (DRAFT) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subtotal | | 1,483 | 4 04APR00A | 31MAR06 | 191 | 3,843,942.17 | 8 6 | \$\$ | | | | |
| 4.1.B LAT INSTRUMEN | IT OPERATIONS CENTER | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1.B.1 PROJECT MANA | GEMENT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| + 4.1.B.1.1 PROJECT | ADMINISTRATION | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1,357 | 27 02OCT00A | 31MAR06 | 191 | 158,974.03 | | | | | | |
| + 4.1.B.1.2 MEETINGS | & REVIEWS | 4.000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| +41813 LOGISTICS | MANAGEMENT | 1,357 | 9 020C100A | 31MAR06 | 191 | 158,930.63 | | · | | × × | - | |
| | MANOEMENT. | 863 | 0 010CT02 | 31MAR06 | 191 | 144.637.16 | | 1 | <u></u> | | | |
| + 4.1.B.1.4 TRAVEL | | | UNICOTOL | 1011111100 | 1 1011 | 111,001110 | | | | | | |
| | | 1,357 A | 16 02OCT00A | 31MAR06 | 191 | 109,280.60 | | | | | | |
| + 4.1.B.1.5 PROJECT \$ | SUPPORT | | | la contra c | | 184,541491440912 | | | | | | - |
| | | 1,357 A | 5 02OCT00A | 31MAR06 | 191 | 116,995.84 | | | | | | |
| 4.1.B.2 PERFORMANCE | ASSURANCE | | | | | | | | | | | |
| + 4.1.B.2.1 IOC PERFC | DRMANCE ASSURANCE | 1.061 | 0 1105001 | 21144.006 | 101 | E4 902 42 | | | | _ | | |
| + 4.1.B.2.2 IOC VERIF | CATION | 1,061 | UTIDECOT | STMARUD | 191 | 54,693.42 | | | | | | - |
| | | 1.061 | 0 11DEC01 | 31MAR06 | 191 | 72.417.37 | | <u> </u> | | _ | | |
| 4.1.B.3 MISSION & OPE | RATIONS PLANNING | | | | | | | | | | | |
| + 4.1.B.3.1 OPERATIO | NS CONCEPT DEVELOPMENT | | | | | | 12.5.5 | 1.00 | - | | | |
| | | 870 | 54 04APR00A | 03OCT03 | 32 | 94,033.85 | | | | | | |
| + 4.1.B.3.2 INTEGRAT | ION & TEST PLANNING | | | | 1 | | | | | 7 | | |
| + 41 P 2 3 MISSION O | | 516 A | 7 20AUG01A | 19SEP03 | 42 | 40,103.14 | - 1 | | | | | |
| + 4.1.0.3.3 MISSICIAC | FERATIONS FLANNING | 541 A | 0 28 (4 1)04 | 31MAR06 | 101 | 172 573 25 | | | | | | |
| 4.1.B.4 LAT OPERATION | NS FACILITY | 541 A | 0 200 1104 | JIMAROO | 131 | 172,075.25 | - | | | | | |
| + 4.1.B.4.1 SYSTEM C | ONCEPTUAL DESIGN | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 570 | 8 04JUN01A | 19SEP03 | 42 | 114,410.89 | | • | | <u></u> | | |
| + 4.1.B.4.2 DATA ACQ | UISITION S/W DEVELOPMENT | | | | 1 1 | | | | | - | | - |
| | | 541 | 0 28JAN04 | 31MAR06 | 191 | 260,340.91 | | | | | • • | |
| + 4.1.B.4.3 OPERATIO | NS S/W DEVELOPMENT | 54 | 0 2214104 | 21111000 | 101 | 177 014 50 | | | | | | |
| +41844 COMMAND | & TELEMETRY DEVELOPMENT | 541 | 0 28JAN04 | 31MARU6 | 191 | 477,614.50 | | | | | | |
| | | 835 | 0 01OCT02 | 21FEB06 | 195 | 224.051.12 | | 4 | • | • • | • | |
| + 4.1.8.4.5 IOC SYSTE | M DEVELOPMENT | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 571 | 0 05DEC03 | 31MAR06 | 191 | 307,092.58 | | | | <u> </u> | • | |
| 4.1.B.5 IOC TEST | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| + 4.1.B.5.1 TEST PLAN | INING | | | The second second | 1 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | 80 E | 0 06OCT03 | 06FEB04 | 364 | 14,917.56 | | | 4 | | | |





Schedule

| | Activity ID | Activity Description | Orig PMT Dur | % Early Comp Start | Early Finish | Total Float | Budgeted Cost | FY01 | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 | FY06 |
|---------|----------------|--------------------------------|--|---|-----------------|----------------|------------------|------|----------|----------|------|----------|----------|
| + 4.1.8 | B.5.2 TEST D | DEVELOPMENT | | | | | | | | | • | | |
| | | | 120 E | 0 09FEB04 | 28JUL04 | 364 | 75,157.39 | | | | | | |
| + 4.1.8 | B.5.3 VERIFI | CATION TESTING | | | | | | | | - | - | | |
| | | | 390 | 0 17DEC03 | 18JUL05 | 364 | 55,878.32 | | | | | — | |
| + 4.1.8 | B.5.4 IOC INT | TERFACE TESTS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 242 | 0 18JUN04 | 09JUN05 | 390 | 54,211.02 | | | | | • • | |
| + 4.1.8 | B.5.5 LOF 1& | TTRAVEL | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 255* | 0 01JUN04 | 09JUN05 | 149 | 34,030.88 | | | | | | |
| .1.B.6 | LAT PERFO | RMANCE VERIFICATION | | | <i>.</i> | 5 5 | | | | | | | |
| + 4.1.8 | B.6.1 PERFC | DRMANCE VERIFICATION TESTING | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 980 | 0 11DEC01 | 28NOV05 | 49 | 69,049.81 | | <u> </u> | | | | ∇ |
| + 4.1.8 | B.6.2 ANALY | SIS SOFTWARE | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 580 | 0 01OCT02 | 09FEB05 | 44 | 45,408.27 | | 2 | <u></u> | | V | |
| + 4.1.6 | B.6.3 DISPLA | AY SOFTWARE | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 572 | 0 01OCT02 | 28JAN05 | 52 | 44,775.19 | | 2 | | | | |
| + 4.1.8 | B.6.4 LAT CA | ALIBRATION SUPPORT | | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | 1,061 | 0 11DEC01 | 31MAR06 | 191 | 47,804.12 | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| + 4.1.8 | B.6.5 LAT TE | STBED SUPPORT | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| | | | 1,028 | 0 06FEB02 | 31MAR06 | 191 | 144,426.96 | | | | | - | |
| .1.B.7 | LAT INTEGR | RATION & TEST | | | | | | | | | | | |
| + 4.1.6 | B.7.1 QUALIF | FICATION UNIT TEST SUPPORT | | | | | | | | | 1000 | | |
| | | | 129 | 0 18AUG03 | 01MAR04 | 68 | 62,929.99 | | | 4 | | | |
| + 4.1.8 | B.7.2 FLIGHT | T UNIT TEST SUPPORT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 193 | 0 09FEB04 | 09NOV04 | 101 | 136,341.77 | | | | | • | |
| + 4.1.8 | B.7.3 LAT 18 | TTRAVEL | | | | | | | | 1 | - | | |
| | | | 129* | 0 18AUG03 | 01MAR04 | 68 | 60,745.00 | | | <u> </u> | | | |
| .1.B.8 | MISSION SY | STEMS INTEGRATION & TEST | 04 - 2022 C. A.L. | •. | A | 1 | | | | | | | |
| + 4.1.8 | B.8.1 OBSER | RVATORY TESTING | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 79 | 0 10FEB05 | 02JUN05 | 30 | 98,148.48 | | | | | | |
| + 4.1.8 | B.8.2 GROUN | ND SYSTEMS COMPATIBILITY TESTS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 147 | 0 17JUN05 | 24JAN06 | 25 | 71,274.98 | | | | | | |
| + 4.1.8 | B.8.3 TRAINI | ING SIMULATIONS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 101 | 0 19SEP05 | 17FEB06 | 220 | 74,436.13 | | | | | 2 | 000 |
| + 4.1.8 | B.8.4 LAUNC | H & EARLY OPERATIONS SUPPORT | de eles | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | 47 | 0 25JAN06 | 31MAR06 | 191 | 139,955.64 | | | | | | |
| + 4.1. | B.8.5 MSI&T | TRAVEL | al de la companya de | No. Alexandro de la composición de la c | | - 10 | | | | | | | |
| | | | 283* | 0 10FEB05 | 31MAR06 | 191 | 108,101.37 | | | | | | |



Key Level III Milestones

| IOC Requirements Review | 05/03/01 |
|--|----------|
| IOC PDR | 08/17/01 |
| Online System Spec from I&T to IOC | 05/01/02 |
| IOC CDR | 12/04/03 |
| Calibration Unit Beam Test from I&T to IOC | 01/15/04 |
| LAT EMI/EMC Test from I&T to IOC | 08/09/04 |
| LAT Vib/Acoustic Test from I&T to IOC | 08/30/04 |
| LAT Thermal Test from I&T to IOC | 09/21/04 |
| LAT Performance Test from I&T to IOC | 10/26/04 |
| LAT Observatory TV Test from SCO to IOC | 04/20/05 |
| Ground System Interface Test from SCO to IOC | 09/16/05 |
| Mission Sequence Test from SCO to IOC | 10/17/05 |
| IOC Readiness Review | 01/10/06 |
| End-to-End Test from SCO to IOC | 01/17/06 |



Key Level IV Milestones

| 08/07/03 |
|----------|
| 12/16/03 |
| 06/01/04 |
| 06/17/04 |
| 06/17/04 |
| 06/17/04 |
| 06/24/04 |
| 12/06/04 |
| 12/13/04 |
| 01/28/05 |
| 01/28/05 |
| 02/04/05 |
| |



Key Level IV Milestones

| 02/07/05 |
|----------|
| 06/09/05 |
| 07/18/05 |
| 09/15/05 |
| 09/15/05 |
| 09/15/05 |
| 09/23/05 |
| 10/07/05 |
| 10/24/05 |
| 11/01/05 |
| 11/08/05 |
| 12/09/05 |
| |



Key Level IV Milestones

| IOC S/W Release 4 | 01/18/06 |
|---|----------|
| Data Acquistion S/W Development Release 4 | 01/18/06 |
| Operations S/W Development Release 4 | 01/18/06 |
| End-to-end Test (TBR) Complete | 01/24/06 |
| Flight Readiness Review (FRR) | 02/01/06 |
| Training Simulations Complete | 02/17/06 |
| Simulation 3 Complete | 02/17/06 |
| LAT Activation & Checkout Complete | 03/31/06 |



Mission Operations Overview

• Launch Date: March 2006

GLAST LAT Project

- Mission Life: 5 year required with 10 year goal
- **Orbit:** 470 to 550 km circular orbit, 28.5° inclination.
- **Spacecraft:** RSDO spacecraft, to be selected ~spring 2002.
- **Mission Operations Center:** TBD, to be selected ~spring 2002.
- Ground Link: Ground Station (Malindi) Two contacts per day (TBR) to dump the bulk science data. Data volume is 28 Gbits per day. Downlink rate is 150 Mbps (TBR) over an X-band link. S-band used for real time housekeeping telemetry and commanding.
- **Space Link:** Space Network Demand Access System (DAS) used for gamma-ray burst alerts, health and safety alerts, and other science and housekeeping functions. Single Access Service (SAS) used for large command uploads and early orbital operations. Multiple Access Service (MAS) used for TOO commanding.
- **Operations Constraints:** Earth limb avoidance, radiator, and solar panel pointing constraints. Spacecraft may autonomously adjust its operation (including its pointing) in response to a gamma-ray burst.

DOE/NASA Baseline-Preliminary Design Review, January 8, 2002

WBS Interfaces

