12.20		
		_

GLAST/GSFC/S_Digel/2001/01/19/1

Source Detection & Characterization

- What do we need to do?
- Rundown of parametric & nonparametric candidates
- Unbinned vs. binned likelihood
- Plan for validation, selection of methods
- Data simulator



- Four related but distinct activities:
 - Monitoring [on board or on ground] for flares on timescales less than intervals between data dumps
 - All-sky searches to monitor for flares on timescales of orbits, establish flux histories
 - General analysis of point sources positions, spectra, variability [not including pulsar-specific analysis]
 - Special analysis of extended emission
- Most appropriate analysis method may not be the same for all four, considering, e.g., time available,



- Parametric
 - Likelihood analysis binned and unbinned
 - Tradeoffs speed and numerical accuracy [Pat Nolan]
- Non-parametric
 - 2-dim Bayesian blocks [Jeff Scargle]
 - Wavelet transform processing [Regis Terrier]
 Advantages an interstellar emission model is not needed
 TBD sensitivity, statistical properties, how handle energydependent angular resolution

Unbinned vs. Binned Likelihood

- Principal advantage of unbinned is sensitivity, but how great is the advantage?
- Results from simulation of the simplest non-trivial case: isolated point source against an isotropic background

Remember, this is only one of many 'figures of merit' that could be used

Data from 1-year sky survey; exposure is spatially uniform, and dist. of obs. time with inclination angle is known

Photon spectral index for source: -2, for background: -2.1, both non-breaking

Used GLAST25 PSF, A(eff), i.e., AO-response versions

Software Workshop, 16-19 January 2001, S. Digel 4



• ~55,000 photons >500 We v, high-failude background, 2×10^{-8} cm⁻² s⁻¹ (>100 MeV) source

GLAST

Software Workshop, 16-19 January 2001, S. Digel 5



- Different kinds of binning possible: spatial, inclination, energy, front vs. back, ...
- Considered several spatial grid sizes, 1 or 3 bins in energy, and subdivision into front vs. back photons
- For binned analysis, the 'effective' PSF is relevant, averaged over energy, with weighting by distribution of inclination angles & A(eff), for the assumed spectrum.



- Profiles of effective PSFs:
- Immediate inferences (easier with hindsight):
 - Sensitivity of binned likelihood decreases for bin sizes >~0.3°
 - Decrease is even more dramatic if have subdivided the energy range
 - Expect to TS to have a fairly strong dependence on energy binning



7°

7°



GLAST

- Bottom line, average Test Statistics for source detection:
 - Unbinned: 512

Grid Size	e Combined	Separate	Combined w/
(deg)	Front+Back	Front & Back	3 Energy Ranges
0.1	380	390	440
0.2	370	370	410
0.5	280	290	320
1.0	220	230	190



- Sensitivity of unbinned analysis can be approached relatively rapidly with binned analysis *in the case of detecting an isolated point source*
- Tompkins (1999) was right that can approach sensitivity of unbinned analysis by choosing the right binning.
 - His analysis predicted greater sensitivity advantages (factor ~10 in TS) for binning in energy, but started at 100 MeV and used early GLAST params. (with gaussian PSF).



- GLAST science is more than just detecting an isolated point source
- Describe requirements and constraints (time available, computer power) for the source detection activities
- Time in schedule is another constraint
- Test the methods
 - Also, for binned analyses, need to define optimum binning
 - The data simulator will be useful for validation: including interstellar emission model, transient sources, and ideally also pointing/livetime information for exposure generation



- Also needed for Mock Data Challenges
- Ideally, will not stand alone from Glastsim, although full implementation including absolute time and orbit/attitude of GLAST will be challenging