Very high energy gamma rays from the direction of Sagittarius A*
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Abstract.
We report the detection of a point-like source of very high energy (VHE) γ-rays coincident within 1′ of Sgr A*, obtained with the H.E.S.S. array of Cherenkov telescopes. The γ-rays exhibit a power-law energy spectrum with a spectral index of −2.2 ± 0.09 ± 0.15 and a flux above the 165 GeV threshold of (1.82 ± 0.22) · 10−7 m−2 s−1. The measured flux and spectrum differ substantially from recent results reported in particular by the CANGAROO collaboration.
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1. Introduction

The Galactic Centre (GC) region (Melia & Falcke 2001) harbours a variety of potential sources of high-energy radiation including the supermassive black hole Sgr A* of $2.6 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ (see e.g. Schödel et al. 2002), which has been identified as a faint source of X-rays (Baganoff et al. 2003) and infrared radiation (Genzel et al. 2003). Emission from Sgr A* is presumably powered by the energy released in the accretion of stellar winds onto the black hole (Melia 1998, Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2000, Yuan et al. 2003).

High (Mayer-Hasselwander et al. 1998) and very high (Tsuchiya et al. 2003, Kosack et al. 2004) energy $\gamma$-ray emission have also been detected from the GC region. The $\gamma$-radiation could result from acceleration of electrons or protons in shocks in these winds, in the accretion flow or in nearby supernova remnants, followed by interactions of accelerated particles with ambient matter or radiation. Alternative mechanisms include the annihilation of dark matter particles accumulating at the GC (Bergström et al. 1998, Ellis et al. 2002, Gnedin & Primack 2003) or curvature radiation of protons near the black hole (Levinson 2000).

2. Observations and Results

The observations presented here were obtained in Summer 2003 with the High Energy Stereoscopic System (H.E.S.S.), consisting of four imaging atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes (Hofmann 2003, Bernlör et al. 2003, Vincent et al. 2003) in Namibia, at 23°16' S 16°30' E. At this time, two of the four telescopes were operational, the other two being under construction. During the first phase of the measurements (June 6 to July 7, 2003), the telescopes were operated independently and images were combined offline using GPS time stamps (4.7 h on source, 'June/July' data set). In the second phase (July 22 to August 29, 2003), a hardware coincidence requirement shower images simultaneously in both telescopes (11.8 h on source, 'July/August' data set). The resulting background suppression allowed us to lower the telescope trigger thresholds, yielding a post-cuts energy threshold of 165 GeV (for typical Sgr A* zenith angles of 20°) as compared to 255 GeV for the 'June/July' data set.

Shower images are parametrised by their centres of gravity and second moments, followed by the stereoscopic reconstruction of shower geometry, providing an angular resolution of $\approx 0.1^\circ$ for individual $\gamma$-rays. $\gamma$-ray candidates are selected based on the shape of shower images, allowing effective suppression of cosmic-ray showers. The $\gamma$-ray energy is estimated from the image intensity and the reconstructed shower geometry, with a typical resolution of 15-20%.

The GC region is characterised by high night-sky brightness (NSB), varying across the field of view and potentially interfering with image reconstruction. Simulations of a range of NSB levels show, however, that the stereoscopic reconstruction is insensitive to this feature, resulting in variations of the measured flux and spectrum that are well within the systematic errors quoted here.

The performance and stability of H.E.S.S. have been confirmed by observations of the Crab Nebula (a standard candle in $\gamma$-ray astronomy). The absolute calibration of the instrument has been verified using muon images (Leroy et al. 2003) which provide a measurement of the absolute photon detection efficiency, and by the measured cosmic ray detection rates (Funk et al. 2004), which are in excellent agreement with simulations.

Fig. 1 shows the distribution of $\gamma$-ray candidates for a 3° field of view centred on Sgr A*. Both data sets ('June/July' and 'July/August') are combined, employing tight cuts to reduce the level of background. The significance of the feature extending along the Galactic Plane is under investigation.
verified by reconstructing the location of known VHE sources such as the Crab Nebula and the AGN PKS 2155-304.

Given the high density of potential sources over the central square degree of the Galaxy, an important question is whether the VHE $\gamma$-ray signal shows signs of source extension. Fig. 2 shows the angular distribution of detected $\gamma$-rays relative to Sgr A*.

The measured energy spectrum is shown in Fig. 4. Data are fit by a power-law, $F(E) = F_0 E^{-\alpha}$, with a spectral index $\alpha = 2.21 \pm 0.09$ and $F_0 = (2.50 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-8} \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{TeV}^{-1}$ for the ‘July/August’ data set (full circles), with a $\chi^2$/d.o.f. of 0.6. The flux above the 165 GeV threshold is $(1.82 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-7} \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, equivalent to 5% of the Crab Nebula flux at this threshold.

The smaller ‘June/July’ data set gives consistent results ($\alpha = 2.11 \pm 0.19$ and $F_0 = (2.76 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-8} \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{TeV}^{-1}$). We estimate systematic errors of $\Delta \alpha \approx 0.15$ and $\Delta F/F \approx 25\%$, with the latter mainly governed by the precision of the energy calibration of the instrument. The energy reconstruction and flux determination have been tested with the Crab Nebula; we reconstruct a power law with index $\alpha = 2.63 \pm 0.04$ and a flux above 1 TeV of $(1.98 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-7} \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ for the Crab, in very good agreement with previous measurements (see Aharonian et al. [2000] and references therein). Fitting the GC $\gamma$-ray spectrum as a power law with an exponential cutoff, we find a lower limit for the cutoff energy of 4 TeV. Within statistics, there are no indications for time variability of the GC signal.

3. Discussion and Conclusions

The CANGAROO collaboration recently reported the detection of sub-TeV gamma rays from within 0.1° of the GC based on 67 h of (on-source) data taken in July 2001 and July/August 2002 (Tsuchiya et al. [2004]). The reported spectrum is very steep, $F(E) \propto E^{-4.6 \pm 0.5}$. The rather hard H.E.S.S. spectra are obviously not consistent with the steep spectrum obtained with CANGAROO-II (Fig. 4): the large flux at low energies implied by the CANGAROO result would have been detected with H.E.S.S. in a matter of minutes. At higher energies, above 2.8 TeV, a marginal detection with a significance of 3.7 $\sigma$ resulting from 26 h of large-zenith-angle observations in the years 1995 through 2003 was reported by the Whipple collaboration (Kosack et al. [2004]), consistent with Sgr A* within the 15° 95% C.L. error circle. The Whipple flux is a factor 3 above that implied by our spectra. Taking all data at face value, one would conclude that the source underwent significant changes over the timescale of one year (2002 to 2003). However, this seems unlikely since none of the individual experiments detects significant variability. Implications of the CANGAROO and Whipple data are discussed in Hooper et al. [2004].

At lower energies around 100 MeV, the EGRET instrument detected a strong excess from the central part of the Galaxy (Mayer-Hasselwander et al. [1998], consistent within its error.

Fig. 2. Centre of gravity of the VHE signal (triangle), superimposed on a 8.5' by 8.5' Chandra X-ray map (Muno et al. [2003]) of the GC. The location of Sgr A* is indicated by a cross. The contour lines indicate the 68% and 95% confidence regions for the source position, taking into account systematic pointing errors of 20'. The white dashed line gives the 95% confidence level upper limit on the rms source size. The resolution for individual VHE photons - as opposed to the precision for the centre of the VHE signal - is 5.8' (50% containment radius).

Fig. 3. Angular distribution of VHE $\gamma$-rays relative to the location of Sgr A*. Inset: distributions in $\theta^2$ where $\theta$ is the angle between the $\gamma$-ray direction and Sgr A*; a uniform background results in a flat distribution in $\theta^2$. Full points: signal region; open points: background region. The main figure shows background-subtracted excess counts. The solid line indicates the distribution expected for a point source of $\gamma$-rays at the position of Sgr A*.
...ings of accelerated protons and nuclei (Fatuzzo & Melia 2003) (Maeda et al. 2002). The measured spectral index of TeV emission, $\alpha \approx 2.2$, is close to the spectrum of shock-accelerated particles. For a $10^8$ yr source age, the modest source extension and the hard spectrum imply that particle diffusion in the central region proceeds much slower compared to the diffusion in the Galactic Disk. While detailed modelling remains to be done, estimates show that for magnetic fields up to $\sim 1$ mG the X-ray and radio emission resulting from secondary electrons generated in interactions of such accelerated protons are below the measured diffuse luminosities integrated over Sgr A East. This consistency criterion is, however, much more challenging if one considers Sgr A* as the source, with its significantly larger magnetic fields and lower (quiescent-state) X-ray flux. In either case, the explanation of the EGRET flux (Fig. 4) requires a second source component with a cutoff close to the highest EGRET energies, located well within the H.E.S.S. field of view (and therefore excluded as a strong TeV source), but not necessarily coincident with Sgr A East or Sgr A*. We note that Tsuchiya et al. (2004) describe the EGRET and CANGAROO fluxes jointly in a model of a diffuse proton flux with a spectral cutoff of a few TeV, interacting with ambient gas. In such a model, one would, however, not expect fast variability.

Alternative mechanisms invoke the hypothetical annihilation of super-symmetric dark matter particles (Bergström et al. 1998; Ellis et al. 2002; Gnedin & Primack 2003) or curvature radiation of protons in the vicinity of the central supermassive black hole (Levinson 2000).

The spectrum of $\gamma$-rays from hypothetical annihilation of neutralinos of mass $M_\chi$ consists of a $\gamma$-ray continuum and two lines at $E = M_\chi$ and $E = M_\chi(1 - m_p^2/4M_\chi^2)$. The continuum spectra generated by the DarkSusy program (Gondolo et al. 2004) are well approximated by $F \sim E^{-\alpha} e^{-E/(M_\chi\alpha)}$ with $\alpha = 2.2...2.4$ and $M_\text{cut} = 0.15...0.3 M_\odot$ depending on the annihilation channel 1. Assuming that the observed $\gamma$-rays represent a continuum annihilation spectrum, the lower limit of 4 TeV on the cutoff implies $M_\chi > 12$ TeV, a range which is presently disfavoured due to particle physics and cosmology arguments (Ellis et al. 2003). Supersymmetric dark matter annihilation as the main source of the observed $\gamma$-rays is therefore unlikely, but not excluded.

The spectrum of the proton curvature radiation (Levinson 2000) depends, to a large extent, on the configuration of magnetic fields near the gravitational radius of the black hole, and detailed predictions are lacking; as a characteristic feature, one would expect time variability. Further observations of the GC region are a high priority for H.E.S.S. in the near future.
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1 Bergström et al. (1998) use $\alpha = 1.5$ and $M_\text{cut} = 0.13 M_\odot$ to describe spectra for $E < 0.5 M_\odot$, but with larger $\alpha$ values a better fit up to $E = 0.9 M_\odot$ is obtained.
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