



Gamma-ray Large Area Space Telescope



LAT System Engineering

GLAST Large Area Telescope:

LAT System Engineering

Pat Hascall SLAC System Engineering



Topics

- Action Item Status
- Technical Baseline Management
- Issues
- Requirements Management and Verification Planning
- Interface Control Documentation
- **RFA Closure**
- Key Metrics
- Risk Management



Monthly Action Item Status

Action Item ID	Actionee	Description	Status
7-30-03-008	Jerry Clinton	Define and maintain the production readiness/execution plan to include vendor selection and associated schedule to ensure unit availability dates are met	OPEN: Draft production plan completed & provided to GSFC. Refinement required as vendors are selected. Update provided early December, 2003. Next update and process for update: TBD. Personnel added (B. Estey), Package prepared for B. Graf to determine best way to exchange information. Meeting on 9/31



Technical Baseline: Flight Drawing Release

- Status details (DAQ reported separately)
 - Tracker
 - 139 of 141 completed (total is 15 over original plan)
 - ACD
 - One assembly drawing remains, no impact to delivery
 - Mech
 - Completed 54 of 60 (total is 1 parts over original plan)
 - Remaining drawings are minor parts, highest assembly drawing (wiring diagram incorporated into assembly drawing)
 - Design Integration
 - Major drawings: 2 of 5 in signoff
 - Minor drawings: Added 8 shim drawings, all 8 in signoff



- The table provides an update to the DAQ plan, and provides status on the progress of drawings that are not yet released
- 65 drawings in or starting the signature cycle

	Origina	al Plan	Currer	nt Plan	Status			
							Percent	
					In		Complete	
					Config		for To Go	
Group	Count	Date	Count	Date	control	To Go	items	
TEM/TPS	30	March	48	1-May	38	10	80	
PDU	19	June	32	15-Jul	3	29	83	
GASU	28	June	63	15-Jul	40	23	93	
EPU/SIU	47	July	60	15-Aug	6	54	85	
Harness	20	April	28		21	7	100	
Brackets	28	May	28		8	20	100	
Heater Control Box	9	Aug	10		1	9	98	
Total	181		269		117	152	88	



GLAST LAT Project GSFC Monthly, 1 September 2004 Flight Drawing Release (Not including DAQ, as of 31 Aug)





Cumulative Released Drawing Metrics as of 31 Aug

Subsystem	Oct-03	Nov-03	Dec-03	Jan-04	Feb-04	Mar-04	Apr-04	May-04	Jun-04	Jul-04	Aug-04
Tracker	20	40	C1	<u></u>	64	04	407	400	100	100	100
Plan	28	49	61	62	64	81	127	129	130	130	130
Actuals	28	49	61	62	64	81	82	117	129	139	139
ACD											
Plan	28	41	41	47	57	99	105	105	105	105	105
Actuals	28	41	41	47	57	99	99	103	103	103	104
Cal											
Plan	28	28	28	28	28	36	38	38	38	38	38
Actuals	28	28	28	28	28	36	38	41	41	41	41
DAQ											
Plan	0	0	0	0	0	30	50	78	125	172	181
Actuals	0	0	0	0	8	8	45	54	58	72	119
Mechanical											
Plan	4	39	39	39	39	43	52	54	59	59	59
Actuals	4	39	39	39	39	43	53	55	58	55	54
Assembly											
Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	13	15	15	15
Actuals	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total											
Plan	88	157	169	176	188	289	377	417	472	519	528
Actuals	88	157	169	176	196	267	317	370	386	410	457



Issues

No.	Description	Status	Due Date	Actionee
3	Technical baseline:	-All drawings to be under CM prior	Weekly Review	P. Hascall
	Flight Drawing	to flight build		
	release	-Flight drawing release plan		
		generated and statused weekly		
22	ASIC radiation	Radiation testing scheduled for	30 April ->June>	Sadrozinksi
	sensitivity testing	completion	TID for four	
	completion		ASICS to	
			Sept	
24	No plans to conduct	Looking at an EMI/EMC test to be		Blanchette
	Tracker Subsystem	performed after Tracker delivery		
	EMI/EMC	but before integration		
25	EEPROM read/write	Gathered other program	10/1 decision	Haller
	issues	experience. Established mitigation	for RAD750	
		options for SIB and RAD750.	PROM	
		Current plan retains baseline file		
		management system for SIB.		
26	Novacap capacitors	Cal has selected alternate part,		Marsh
	leakage current high	Tracker and DAQ use as is,		
		transition to new parts. Formal		
		disposition required from PCB to		
		close		
27	PMT failures during	Root cause identified, alternate	Closed	
	thermal cycles	mounting methods under review.		
		ACD peer review 8/30, redesign		
		plan in place		

LAT System Engineering

GSFC Monthly, 1 September 2004



GLAST LAT Project

Issues (continued)

No.	Description	Status	Due Date	Actionee
28	Humiseal	GSFC QE review 8/31. MRB		Rich
	Conformal Coating	disposition pending		
29	Pitch adapters	Continuing to screen original		Rich
	_	design, alternate design in work		
30	Kapton to tungsten	Tiger team led by N. Johnson in		N Johnson
	foil bonding	Italy this week		
31	Tracker flex cable	GSFC/SLAC tiger team at Parlex	9/3/04	Rich
	coupon failures	to review processes and test		
		methodology		
32	Tracker wire bond	Evaluating root cause. Potentially		R. Johnson
	breaks (heavy trays)	delete conformal coating		
33	FPGA failures	Tracking Aerospace Tiger Team	11/1/04	Haller
		efforts. Ordered alternate parts		
		(UMC).		
34	Polyswitch derating	Reviewing GSFC analysis. Joint	9/8/04	Haller
	vs fuses	decision meeting on 8 Sept.		



Interface Management

GSFC Monthly, 1 September 2004

GLAST LAT Project



Interface Document Status

- SC-LAT ICD ICN Status
 - LAT signed this month
 - ICN-39 SC Overvoltage Limit
 - ICN-65 LAT TMM Size
 - ICN-70 LAT 750W Peak Power
 - Currently under signature review
 - None
 - Currently in draft or revision
 - None
- Internal LAT ICD's and IDD's
 - Signed this month
 - None
 - Currently in signature review
 - ACD-LAT ICD
 - Electronics-LAT ICD (Comments being incorporated as they are received)



GFE Deliverables/Receivables

- LAT GFE Deliverables
 - July: None Scheduled
 - Aug: None Scheduled
 - Sept: None, ISIS delivery delayed until Oct
 - Oct: ISIS
- LAT GFE Receivables
 - July: None Scheduled
 - Aug: None, SIIS delivery delayed until early Sept
 - Sept: SIIS; SC Test Flexures
 - Oct: None Scheduled

GSFC Monthly, 1 September 2004



RFA Closure

- 37 CDR RFAs total, submitted 35 answers
- Peer review RFAs
 - 193 Total
 - 180 Closed
 - 13 in work
 - Two are post CDR
 - 8 answers pending final approval



Key Design Metrics

LAT Mass Status

ACD estimate is 286.2, CR in work to change allocation

LAT Mass St	us Report LAT-TD-00564-10
LAT Mass Status	Effective Date: 28-May-04
Martin Nordby	Print Date: 28-May-04

iviay-04		
Mass (kg)	Estimate	Alloc.
TKR	508.7	510.0
CAL	1374.3	1440.0
ACD	283.8	280.0
Mech	360.4	386.6
Elec	230.4	240.0
Systems	7.0	8.0
LAT Total	2764.6	2864.6
Rsrv/Margin	235.4	
Rsrv/Margin*	8.5%	
Allocation		3000.0

May 04

* AIAA G-020 recommended min reserve = 6.0%

Current allocations per CCB action on 18 Nov 03

Center of Mass (mm)									
CMx	-1.23	-20 < CMx < 20							
CMy	-0.89	-20 < CMy < 20							
CMz	-71.30	CMz < -51.2							
Ht off LIP	164.90	Ht < 185							
Second Mome	Second Moment of Inertia (kg-m ²)								
lxx	1054.7	1500.0							
lyy	1011.3	1500.0							
lzz	1395.6	2000.0							

Mass Estimate Breakdown							
(kg) %							
Parametric	187.2	6.8%					
Calculated	530.5	19.2%					
Measured	2046.9	74.0%					
Total	2764.6	100%					



GSFC Monthly, 1 September 2004



LAT Power Status

Item	Estimate (Watts)	PAR. (Watt	A CALC ts) (Watts)	MEAS (Watts)		ALLOC (Watts)					
ACD	13.1	0.2	0.0	12.9		10.5					
Tracker	143.0	1.5	0.0	141.5		153.0					
Calorimeter	50.8	0.0	0.0	50.8		65.0		PDR	Reserve	Was 15.2%	6
Trigger & Data Flow	313.4	43.2	2 86.1	184.1		327.5		CDR	Reserve	Was 13.4%	6
Grid/thermal	20.4	20.4	4 0.0	0.0		35.0					
Instrument Total	540.7	65.4	4 86.1	389.2		591.0		Goa	I for PSRF	R Reserve	> 5%
Instrument Allocation	650.0	Г									
% Reserve	20.2%		700.0 🖵				LAT Powe	r			
PARA - Best Estimate conceptual design para	based on ameters		650.0 -								
<u>CALC</u> - Estimate based power from detailed de documentation	d on Calculated sign		ste 600.0 + × ↓ 550.0 +		F	•		• • • • •	•		
MEAS - Actual power n of components	neasurements		a 500.0	•	•	•	PDR 7/30/02	CDR 5/1/03		PSRR 9/05	
Goals estimated using given in ANSI/AIAA G-("Estimating and Budge and Power Contingence	guidelines 020-1992 ting Weight ies for Space		450.0 400.0 Jan-9	99 Jai	n-00	Jan-01 Jan	I-02 Ja	n-03 Jar	n-04 Jan	n-05 Jan-(06



LAT Power Status (Continued)

Survival Power

Component	Current	Subsystem Power Estimates (W)				
	Alloc.	PARA	CALC	MEAS	Total	Margin
On-Orbit Average Power Total1	278.00	0.00	230.40	0.00	230.40	20.7%
Regulated VCHP Power Total	58.00	0.00	48.40	0.00	48.40	19.8%
Unregulated Passive Survival Power	220.00	0.00	182.00	0.00	182.00	20.9%

¹Power estimates reflect the LAT steady state orbit average. Numbers do not reflect transition into or out of survival mode, i.e. early orbit operations.



FSW Resource Usage Current Estimates

Resource	Total Available	Current Usage	Margin Factor
EPU Boot PROM	256 kB	128 kB	2
SIU Boot PROM	256 kB	128 kB	2
EPU EEPROM	6 MB	1.5 MB	4
SIU EEPROM	6 MB	1.5-2.5 MB	3
EPU CPU cycles	200% in 2 EPUs	30%	> 6
SIU CPU cycles	100% in 1 SIU	25%	4
EPU memory	128 MB	16-32 MB	4-8
SIU memory	128 MB	< 16 MB	8



Instrument Bandwidth Resources

• LAT communication, bandwidth (BW) in Mbyte/sec

Resource	Max Total BW limited by Hardware	Max limited by SC- ground transmissi on	Ave current BW at 10 KHz max trigger rate*	Ave current BW at 2 KHz nominal trigger rate*	Margin Factor (for 10 KHz rate)
Detector to GASU-EBM	45	N/A	10	2	4.5
GASU-EBM to EPU-CPU	20	N/A	5	1	4
EPU-CPU to GASU-EBM	2.5	0.075	0.04*	0.02*	2
GASU-EBM to SIU-CPU	5	0.15	0.08*	0.015*	2
SIU-CPU to Spacecraft	5	0.15	0.08*	0.015*	2

* Present performance of event filter for EPU-CPU, still being optimized. Eventually the physics filter will be adjusted/loosened to take advantage of the max average bandwidh

EBM: Event-Builder Module

EPU: Event-Processing Unit

SIU: Spacecraft Interface Unit



Key Science Performance Metrics

Parameter	SRD Value	Present Design Value	
Peak Effective Area (in range 1-10 GeV)	>8000 cm ²	10,000 cm² at 10 GeV	
Energy Resolution 100 MeV on-axis	<10%	9%	
Energy Resolution 10 GeV on-axis	<10%	8%	
Energy Resolution 10-300 GeV on-axis	<20%	<15%	
Energy Resolution 10-300 GeV off-axis (>60°)	<6%	<4.5%	
PSF 68% 100 MeV on-axis	<3.5°	3.37° (front), 4.64° (total)	
PSF 68% 10 GeV on-axis	<0.15°	0.086° (front), 0.115° (total)	
PSF 95/68 ratio	<3	2.1 front, 2.6 back (100 MeV)	
PSF 55°/normal ratio	<1.7	1.6	
Field of View	>2sr	2.4 sr	
Background rejection (E>100 MeV)	<10% diffuse	6% diffuse (adjustable)	
Point Source Sensitivity(>100MeV)	<6x10 ⁻⁹ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	3x10 ⁻⁹ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	
Source Location Determination	<0.5 arcmin	<0.4 arcmin (ignoring BACK info)	
GRB localization	<10 arcmin	5 arcmin (ignoring BACK info)	

LAT System Engineering



Risk Management

GSFC Monthly, 1 September 2004



Risk Management Activity

• No new risks identified this month



Top risks

ID #	Risk Rank	Risk Description	Risk Mitigation	Status
Proj Mgt - 003	Moderate	If completion of Tracker subsystem qualification is delayed due to EM or MCM electronics closure; then start of LAT I & T and schedule will be impacted	 Manufacturing Eng assigned to close MCM issues Increased team integration with Italian partners GSFC audit/support to Tracker EM closure 	 Restructured SLAC engineering support Additional INFN support in place Key schedule issue Tracking MCM production rate per plan
Proj Mgt - 002	Moderate	If ASICs fail to meet qualification requirements; then schedule impact will occur	 Focused review & test. Margin for re-runs protected where possible Individual risks Identified by subsystem Extensive use of DAQ test bed to drive out system issues 	 Cal/ACD ASIC's continued testing Test Bed operating No new issues
Proj Mgt - 004	Moderate	If TEM Power supply fails qualification; then final implementation may exceed schedule impacting delivery to I&T	 Key focus item identified for DAQ TEM/PS extensive EM use as EGSE 	 Implementation plan in place and proceeding Evaluating fuse audit results



Top risks

ID #	Risk Rank	Risk Description	Risk Mitigation	Status
SE-007	Moderate	If a critical component fails post LAT integration; then de- integration will result in cost & schedule impact	 Extensive use of EM test bed to support flight H/W & S/W development Thorough qualification and acceptance tests Pre planned I&T actions for de- integration 	 Qual & acceptance planning in-place I&T developing re- work contingency plans. Integration plan baselined
Elec- 004	Moderate	If target hardware, requirement development or manpower is delayed; Then Flight-Software development schedule will be impacted	 Detailed incremental development program Ensure sufficient software test on target hardware during development to drive out any requirement disconnects. Include adequate peer reviews before each spiral cycle prior to release Include monthly Demos to verify functionality/measure progress 	 Adapting monthly demos Enhanced software team and processes Added software management support EM2 Review 26 Feb Tracking EGSE resource utilization Hired FSW manager Planning FSW review on 16 September



Top risks

ID #	Risk	Risk Description	Risk Mitigation	Status
	Rank	•	6	
	Панк			
			 Manufacturing engineer added to expedite minimum cost closure 	 Purchase order tracking/monitoring system in place to highlight roadblocks
Proj Mgt - 005	Moderate	If parts and vendor orders are delayed or bids exceed expectations; then flight production costs & delivery schedule will be impacted	 Clarification and purchase package review to ensure accurate bids 	•Design documentation release plan prioritized by vendor selection and
			 Increase production management staff 	component fabrication need dates
				 Workarounds implemented for late parts
				 Hired additional head to manage production
	Moderate	If logistic or facility integration issues are found during LAT environmental test program; then re-work will delay schedule	 LAT I&T to plan a roadmap of activities from LAT building 33 to completion of environmental testing LAT I&T to consider and develop opportunities to path find key activities required prior to LAT shipment to NRL 	 New risk identified
IT - 006				•Environmental Planning TIM held at NRL 2 June, follow up in September at SLAC
IT - 006			•LAT I&T to consider and develop opportunities to path find key activities required prior to LAT shipment to NRL	SLAC